INTRODUCTION
The crisis triggered by the financial crash in Europe exposed many of the weaknesses in the European construction process, notably with regard to the Economic and Monetary Union (EMU). The crisis, which began in 2008, had enormous political repercussions including that of the foreseeable punishment of incumbents with successive election defeats of governments in Portugal, Spain, Greece, Iceland and Italy. In the countries most affected by the European crisis, such as Greece, there has even been a reconfiguration of the party systems due to the abrupt fall of traditional parties (PASOK) and the appearance of extremist parties like SYRIZA and Golden Dawn.

In Portugal, the European elections on 25th May 2014 will take place in a context of great economic, political and social tension, largely as a result of the austerity measures implemented by the Socialist Party (PS) (led by José Sócrates), and the Social Democratic Party (PSD/CDS-PP) (led by Pedro Passos Coelho), notably after the signing of the agreement with the troika (International Monetary Fund, European Commission and European Central Bank). The Portuguese – supporters of the European project since the country’s adhesion to the EEC – are extremely disappointed with Europe. Eurobarometer data from autumn 2013 show that 79 per cent of the Portuguese feel that the European Union (EU) does not listen to them. Only a fifth of those surveyed believe that the EU has a positive image and that Europe is moving in the right direction.
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Moreover, Portuguese citizens are divided with regard to the Monetary Union and the Euro, with only 20 percent believing that the economic and financial crisis can be resolved at the European level. Thus, the Portuguese were unhappy with the European project, which seemed to have failed to generate prosperity and economic security. They are also pessimistic about the way in which democracy functions in Portugal (85 percent said they are dissatisfied) and they unanimously describe the state of the economy as disastrous, which, according to research on the economic vote, tends to be reflected in bad election results for the governing parties. Which electoral strategy will Portugal’s main political parties choose, notably those that led the country’s accession and integration process into the EU, during the 2014 European election in light of this apparently unfavourable context for a strong pro-European discourse where European matters are interwoven with the decisive subject of the economic situation more than in any other European elections in Portugal to date (largely due to the direct intervention of European institutions in managing the problem of the Portuguese sovereign debt)? The present article is a first systematic approach to this question as it analyses the electoral manifestos prepared by the parties/coalitions represented in the European Parliament (Portugal Alliance [Aliança Portugal], Left Bloc [Bloco de Esquerda], CDU and the Socialist Party [Partido Socialista]) during the first months of the year. The aim is to identify the positioning of Portugal’s main political forces on the five major topics linked to Europe and the economic crisis, and to shed light on the impact of factors such as political ideology, their government situation (incumbent/opposition) and party type (mainstream or more radical) in the positions expressed in their electoral manifestos.

In the following pages, we will briefly describe the main patterns in the positioning of Portuguese political parties in relation to Europe, as indicated in the programmes prepared during the campaigns for these second-order elections. After presenting the hypotheses, data and dimensions of the analysis, a content analysis of the electoral manifestos of the Portuguese parties is used to analyse their positioning. The main patterns observed will then be discussed in light of the current social and political context.

CONTENT OF THE PORTUGUESE PARTIES’ ELECTORAL MANIFESTOS FOR THE EUROPEAN ELECTIONS

European elections directly elect citizens’ representatives in the European Parliament. They were first held in 1979 and have since taken place every five years. Reif and Schmitt refer to the European elections as second-order national elections; indeed, they can be
compared to local or regional elections given that they have no direct impact on the governance of the national public territory. According to these authors, the European elections are dominated by the national cleavages (insofar as the European ‘arena’ is abstract, distant and not very politicised); they are characterised by higher abstention rates than first-order elections (i.e. legislative elections, in the Portuguese case), as well as by better election perspectives for small and/or new parties and a tendency to penalise the governing party. European elections in Portugal have undoubtedly been second-order elections, given the high abstention rates (higher than the European average irrespective of their timing in the national political calendar), and the fact that both the bigger and governing parties have less satisfactory results than the opposition and/or smaller parties.

The fact that European elections are seen as second-order national elections also has implications for the presence of European matters in campaigns. European elections are often an arena to discuss matters of national relevance at the expense of truly European issues. This phenomenon is frequently seen in the media, thanks not only to editors and journalists but also the political actors involved in the campaigns. The presence of European subjects in more visible political campaign materials, such as airtime or posters, is limited to the point that the expression «Europe-shaped hole» can be used to describe its content: in 2009, three quarters of the campaign materials prepared by the Portuguese political parties represented in the European Parliament addressed national matters. In this case, there is a marked cleavage between governing and opposition parties, with the incumbent dedicating two thirds of their political communication material to European issues while the opposition parties tend to address Europe much less.

The amount of attention given to European matters in the parties’ electoral manifestos is determined by factors such as the level of politicisation of European subjects at the national level, or the level of intra-party disagreement on Europe. In the Portuguese case, the political parties’ positions and preferences on the European project can usually be clearly identified in their euromanifestos. The analysis of the documents prepared for the European campaigns in the first 23 years of Portugal’s membership of the EEC/EU reveals three major phases in Portuguese political parties’ attitudes towards Europe. The first phase, from 1986 to 1991, is one of widespread enthusiasm and specific pragmatism, insofar as the main parties (with the exception of the CDU) evaluated adhesion positively, albeit with a certain scepticism regarding the concession of some decision-making powers to the EEC. In the second phase, which ran from the Maastricht Treaty to the turning of the millennium, we see the governing parties’ increased enthusiasm...
about the European project but also the appearance of a real cleavage between large and small parties on Europe-related matters; this followed a change in the CDS leadership when it adopted a clearly Eurosceptic position on the grounds that it was defending the national identity and sovereignty. The third phase, from 2000 to 2009, ran parallel with the growth in the Left Bloc’s electoral success, as well as the EU’s enlargement to the East. This phase is marked by some dispersed scepticism (with the increased presence of references to some negative or contradictory aspects of EEC/EU adhesion in electoral manifestos) in relation to the support for the European project specifically, notably in the attempt to give Europe a greater role and more power in decision-making processes and the management of areas like the environment, immigration, justice, and social policies.

Despite these broad trends, the positions of the Portuguese political parties have varied considerably. Sanches and Santana-Pereira tested the impact of three of the parties’ characteristics using the positions expressed in the European electoral manifestos published between 1987 and 2004. Following Hooghe, Marks and Wilson, the first two variables address ideology and ideological extremism (distinguishing between right and left-wing parties, and between parties towards the centre with diffuse ideologies and extreme parties). The third variable was related to the party’s situation at the time of the European elections, and made the distinction between the parties with governing responsibilities and opposition parties. The analysis showed that the left-right cleavage was relatively unimportant: indeed, the PS has always been closer to the PSD than to the CDU on the European project. In general terms, political competition on the subject of European affairs seems to have been based on the cleavage between the so-called ‘centrist’ parties and small parties that are ideologically more defined. This was the case particularly in the 1990s, when the CDS took a much more Eurosceptic stand than it does today, thus joining forces with the traditionally critical CDU. Although the PS and the PSD have been clearly pro-Europe, it should be noted that they tend to be less enthusiastic supporters when they are in the opposition.

There are clearly electoral reasons for the oscillations in the declared positions of some Portuguese political parties on the EU. In an attempt to obtain the best election results possible, parties seek to control the tensions between the positions previously expressed, public opinion at the time of the elections, and the political measures implemented by the government in a multi-level governance system. Although Portugal’s European integration was essentially led by the elite, with little participation or intervention by the people and civil society, the attitudes to Europe in the public opinion have never been irrelevant; in fact, the high level of support for the European project

Although Portugal’s European integration was essentially led by the elite, with little participation or intervention by the people and civil society, the attitudes to Europe in the public opinion have never been irrelevant.
enabled the governing parties to make electoral gains thanks to the advantageous consequences of Portugal’s adhesion to the EEC/EU. This is the main reason why, from 1986 to 2009, the governing parties’ positions on Europe tended to be very positive and receptive to the further development of the Union. Marina Costa Lobo reached a similar conclusion when analysing the salience given to the subject of Europe in the political programmes of Portuguese parties, noting that it «varies depending on each party’s circumstances at a given election. In other words, the subject of Europe and the positioning for or against are highlighted or downplayed according to whether or not the parties believe they contribute to their goals at a given electoral moment».

OBJECTIVES, HYPOTHESES, DIMENSIONS OF ANALYSIS, AND MATERIALS ANALYSED

This paper analyses the positioning of the Portuguese political parties in the forthcoming 2014 European elections on important dimensions of the political competition during the campaign period, with the aim of identifying the main patterns and some of the factors that structure and shape the parties’ position. The positioning of each party is identified by analysing the content of the electoral manifestos produced for the European elections. This method of positioning parties as per ideology has a long tradition in political science; it is considered more reliable and is used more frequently than other methods (use of data collected by expert surveys or public opinion polls) due to its objectivity and impartiality and the great availability of data. Given the objectives of this article, electoral programmes (or similar party documents) are undoubtedly the most suitable choice given that a truly systematic analysis of the political parties’ positions on the crisis, Europe and the interconnection of these two subject areas must be analysed based on official documentation, particularly if it has been prepared with electoral objectives in mind.

The analysis of the electoral programmes covers only the four political parties and coalitions already represented in the European Parliament. We therefore chose to focus on the parties with a formalised and institutionalised relationship with Europe as a result of their recent presence in successive Parliaments: PS, PSD, CDS-PP, BE and CDU. The Socialist Party (PS) chose its leader and Member of the European Parliament (MEP) between 1999 and 2004 to head the party list. The governing parties (PSD and CDS-PP) announced the formation of the Portugal Alliance coalition in March 2014, with the Member of European Parliament, Paulo Rangel, heading its list. Two names connected to the CDS-PP (Nuno Melo, MEP, and Ana Clara Birrento, professor and member of the party) were among the coalition’s first ten candidates. To the left, the smaller parties with parliamentary representation chose two young figures who had already proved themselves in the European Parliament: Marisa Matias (Left Bloc) and João Ferreira (CDU). In terms of the people involved in the campaign, the focus seems to have been essentially on continuity.
Based on the results of studies on the positions on the EU of Portugal’s political parties in electoral programmes published in the last few decades, the parties are again expected to seek a balance in 2014 between their traditional position on Europe and the opportunities and constraints afforded by the current context, both from the point of view of the climate of public opinion (waning enthusiasm for the European project, apprehension due to the intervention of European institutions in the country’s economic and financial management), and in terms of their current position vis-à-vis the government (incumbents vs. opposition parties). Thus, Portugal Alliance is expected to express enthusiasm for the European project and to defend the measures that result directly or indirectly from the agreement made with the troika (hypothesis 1), while the Socialist Party, which traditionally defends the European Union, will tend to align its Euro-enthusiasm with some scepticism about the measures implemented by the government to resolve the debt problem (hypothesis 2). CDU benefits from a fruitful terrain to express its traditional positions, and will therefore continue to stress its mistrust of the EU (hypothesis 3). Lastly, the BE, the Euro-enthusiasts with nuances and with a more recent representation in the European Parliament, can choose to ride the wave of discontent with the government and the EU and, like the Communists and the Green Party (Verdes), highlight its criticisms of the EU in its 2014 electoral programme (hypothesis 4). Accordingly, the government parties vs. parties with no governing experience dichotomy is expected to be associated with major differences in terms of the positions on Europe, and explicit support for the European project is therefore more likely to be found among the former than the latter (hypothesis 5).

In light of the necessary brevity of this study, we have decided to focus our analysis on five fundamental dimensions. The choice was governed mainly by the centrality and salience acquired since the onset of the crisis in Portugal, particularly in relation to economic and financial policies – a subject that is now inextricably linked with European matters. We seek to understand where the Portuguese parties stand on the following topics:

• General attitude on the European Union.
• Need to renegotiate the Portuguese debt.
• Remaining in the Economic and Monetary Union (EMU).
• Mutualisation of European States’ debts, with the creation of Eurobonds.
• Permanent cuts to pensions and benefits.

All the dimensions are directly or indirectly related to the EU in that they involve matters directly linked to the EU and its institutions, or in which the latter have played an important role. These dimensions capture each party’s overall position on European
integration (i), public debt (ii), a subject of great salience since the beginning of the assistance programme, and on the EMU (iii; iv). Dimension number v was included as it has become of increasing salience in recent years, and is absolutely central in the May 2014 European elections, and due to the fact that these measures have been presented and discussed as part of the adjustment process required under the agreement with the European institutions and the IMF.

The party documents used in this article are as follows: the electoral manifest entitled «Portugal Alliance – Europeans 2014», published in March 2014, was mostly used for the PSD-CDS coalition. The electoral programme presented by the coalition stands out mostly because of its unique format: 101 ideas tweeted to facilitate the dissemination of the party’s positions and proposals through social networks. We also used the programme of the 9th Constitutional Government. Several sources were used for the Socialist Party, namely the October 2010 Declaration of Principles [Declaração de Princípios de outubro de 2010], the 2011 legislative elections programme, the Portugal Has a Future ['Portugal Tem Futuro'] motion, and the New Direction for Portugal ['Novo Rumo para Portugal'] declaration. For the CDU, we used the 2014 European election manifesto entitled «Portugal with a Future in a Europe of the Workers and the People» ['Um Portugal com Futuro numa Europa dos Trabalhadores e dos Povos']. Lastly, in the case of the BE, we used the manifesto Disobeying a Europe of Austerity ['Desobedecer à Europa da Austeridade'], prepared by the party for the May 2014 European elections.

**ANALYSING THE COMPETITION DIMENSIONS**

Let us start by analysing the Portuguese political parties’ positions on European integration. As we have seen, historically the main parties in the Portuguese party system (PS and PSD) have been strongly pro-European. The other parties are known for their marked scepticism (CDU) or, as in the case of the CDS, of shifting between Euroscepticism and being pro-European.

In the 2014 European elections, the government’s coalition parties (PSD and CDS-PP) have stated that they are strongly in favour of the European project; their Portugal Alliance manifesto states that «we are part of the European future and today we are full citizens of Portugal and Europe». The PS, in its 2010 Declaration of Principles, reaffirms that it is «totally in favour of the European construction process, and in favour of the development, strengthening and enlargement of the European Union». In line with the CDU’s traditional scepticism but without ever explicitly affirming its opposition to the European project, its manifesto for the 2014 European elections argues that «nothing can force Portugal to agree to the subordination of the State within the EU framework or to divest its national sovereignty and independence». In line with the CDU’s traditional scepticism but without ever explicitly affirming its opposition to the European project, its manifesto for the 2014 European elections argues that «nothing can force Portugal to agree to the subordination of the State within the EU framework or to divest its national sovereignty and independence». The CDU also manifests its opposition to the Budget Treaty, a recently-created instrument to maintain the strict rules of budgetary control, namely holding the structural deficit at 0.5%. This coalition’s position is clear; it defends the «reversibility of agreements and treaties that
rule the current integration, starting with the Lisbon Treaty, the Budget Treaty, and the legal documents on Economic Governance». There are some nuances in the Left Bloc’s position. On one hand, it presents itself as an integral part of the pro-Europe left as opposed to the Euro-sceptic left, but on the other it clearly rejects the current distribution of power, and proposes fighting for the refoundation of the EU’s institutions. According to the BE electoral manifesto, «the European left parties must have a project for the refoundation of Europe […] that will overcome the institutional blockage created by unbending treaties». The document also states that the BE defends a «referendum on the Budget Treaty in which the voices of the victims of this policy will oppose this broad institutional consensus».

An analysis of the parties’ position on renegotiating the public debt (dimension 2) reveals a cleavage between the government parties and the opposition. The government parties defend that «decreasing the Portuguese economy’s excessive debt must be achieved [by] steadily reducing public debt». More explicitly, they add that «Portugal must comply with its commitments. Although not easy, it is indispensable». In a diametrically opposed position, the motion ‘Portugal Has a Future’ from the PS, the largest opposition party, not only foresees «a renegotiation of the extension of the payment deadlines for part of the public debt» but also the «renegotiation of the interest to be paid on loans obtained». The CDU and the BE’s positions are quite similar, with both parties defending that Portugal should immediately renegotiate the amounts, deadlines, and interest on public debt.

The third dimension analysed herein seeks to understand the political parties’ specific position on the single currency. Remaining in the EMU has been a topic of increasing salience in recent years. Generally speaking, the idea of leaving the single currency (still) seems to be considered undesirable in Portugal. However, some striking differences between the political parties are worthy of note. In its manifesto, the Portugal Alliance defends that «Portugal must consciously choose a single currency that will serve its interests and allow the economy to grow steadily». According to the governing parties, this entails «an institutional reform of the Economic and Monetary Union […] so that integration is strengthened responsibly and with solidarity, shared powers and guarantee mechanisms». The PS, on the other hand, clearly states in its ‘New Direction for Portugal’ declaration that «the choice is not between staying in or leaving the Euro. For us, the urgency is in changing the Euro Zone and completing it with political, economic and social governance». Indeed, it should be noted that the three parties that have shared governing roles in Portugal since democratisation not only agree Portugal should remain in the euro, but also that institutional reforms are required to correct the EMU’s shortcomings. While the BE has never explicitly come out in favour of Portugal remaining in the euro area, it does, however, reject «more sacrifices in the name of the Euro». We can therefore assume that the BE defends Portugal’s continuation in the euro area must be compatible with the end of austerity and sacrifice. Marisa
Matias, who heads the party list, confirmed this when she said «if we reach a point where we have to choose between the euro area and the welfare state, I have no doubt that we will have to choose the welfare state»\(^52\). The CDU is the most openly eurosceptic party in this dimension of our analysis. In its electoral manifesto, the coalition states that Portugal must fight to «dissolve the Economic and Monetary Union and adopt measures that prepare the country for any changes to the euro area, namely those resulting from Portugal’s exit, whether of its own accord or due to future developments of the EU crisis»\(^53\). The analysis of this dimension reveals the stark differences between the PSD-CDS coalition and the PS on one hand, and the positions defended by the BE and the CDU, which differ in terms of intensity. Whilst the former are adamant that Portugal should remain in the EMU and must strive for the necessary institutional reforms that will ensure the Euro is in keeping with the end of austerity, the other parties believe that leaving the Euro is, or may become, a reality. If the economic and social costs of austerity continue to rise, Portugal must consider leaving the euro area.

The fourth dimension analysed herein is the position of Portuguese political parties on Eurobonds, an instrument many see as being part of the above mentioned institutional reforms of the EMU. Yet again, the cleavage between the more pro-Europe parties and the much more Eurosceptic party in the Portuguese partisan system is evident. The PSD and the CDS-PP, as well as the PS and the BE, are in favour of the creation of debt mutualisation mechanisms. In its electoral manifesto, PSD and CDS-PP clearly state that «the future development of solidarity and debt mutualisation mechanisms is desirable [...]». To that end, the Union must optimise structural reforms in the Member States by means of a system of ‘contractual arrangements’ and ‘associated solidarity mechanisms’ mutually agreed upon by the Member States and the European Union»\(^54\). Similarly, the PS highlights the «need to focus on economic growth, as well as the introduction of Eurobonds at a European level so as to mutualise the debt of the euro area countries»\(^55\). In this dimension, the BE takes a similar position to that of the coalition parties and the PS. In their 2014 manifesto, the BE argue that the «EU must have its own debt management instruments that can serve as a resource for Member States, but benefiting from the funding costs that an area like the EU can provide»\(^56\). In keeping with its usual Euroscepticism, the CDU is the only Portuguese political player openly against any debt mutualisation mechanisms. In a recently approved thesis, the Communists call this type of mechanism a «decoy», characterising it as «a speculative fund built on the Member States’ contribution to increase sovereign debts and the dependence on large financial capital»\(^57\).

Lastly, we turn to the question of pensions and the future of the public Social Security, a subject widely debated in Portuguese society. This dimension is particularly important in the context of European elections as the successive updates to the memorandum of understanding have included key measures in this area of governance. In the months immediately prior to the European elections, the topic of a permanent cut in pensions....
has been acquiring growing salience. In the government programme, the PSD and CDS-PP states that «it is necessary to study and assess the introduction of reforms that introduce a savings component in the old-age pensions whilst maintaining the State’s guarantee in the area of compulsory solidarity»\(^5\). The PSD and the CDS-PP justify this measure with the «fall in the economic dependence ratio» and the «progressive maturation of careers»\(^5\). On the other hand, the proposal from the PS is based on the reform implemented by the José Sócrates government in 2006. The Socialists therefore defend «promoting the sustainability, efficiency and equity of public Social Security without overlooking the conjunctural financial restrictions, but as an alternative to the project of the Portuguese right-wing to partially privatise and slim down Social Security». The

THE PS AND THE PORTUGAL ALLIANCE CONTINUE TO PRESENT THEMSELVES AS POLITICAL PARTIES IN FAVOUR OF EUROPEAN INTEGRATION, EXPRESSING GENERAL SUPPORT FOR THE EUROPEAN PROJECT, REMAINING IN THE EURO ZONE, AND DEBT MUTUALISATION BY CREATING EUROBONDS. THE MAIN SOURCES OF DISAGREEMENT ARE THE NEED TO RENEGOTIATE THE DEBT.

CDU’s strong nationalist and Eurosceptical position, identified in most of the programmes published for European elections in the last 30 years\(^6\), is still present in the 2014 documents. On the other hand, the BE position is somewhat undefined and with several undertones, which sets it apart from the strongly Eurosceptic CDU and the centrist parties. Thus, only the hypothesis on the BE position in the electoral programme for the 2014 European elections does not have empirical support in our analysis. Despite its short relationship with the EU, the BE seems to have given more importance to maintaining its traditional line of moderate enthusiasm about the EU rather than capitalising on the current lack of popularity for the Europe project among Portuguese voters.
Tabela 1 > The positions taken by the main political parties and coalitions in the 2014 electoral programmes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Portugal Alliance</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>CDU</th>
<th>BE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General attitude regarding the European Union</td>
<td>Totally in favour</td>
<td>Totally in favour</td>
<td>Totally against</td>
<td>Partially in favour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renegotiation of the Portuguese debt</td>
<td>Totally against</td>
<td>Totally in favour</td>
<td>Totally in favour</td>
<td>Totally in favour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining in the Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)</td>
<td>Totally in favour</td>
<td>Totally in favour</td>
<td>Totally against</td>
<td>Partially against</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt mutualisation of the European states with the creation of Eurobonds</td>
<td>Totally in favour</td>
<td>Totally in favour</td>
<td>Totally against</td>
<td>Totally in favour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent pension cuts</td>
<td>Partially in favour</td>
<td>Partially against</td>
<td>Totally against</td>
<td>Totally against</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We now turn to our analysis of the differences between the positions expressed by the political parties in terms of their size, ideology and situation vis-à-vis government (government vs. opposition). Curiously, in 2014, the left/right-wing and opposition/government dichotomies overlap as both the right-wing parties are in government while the left-wing parties are in opposition. Table 2 shows that these factors have a weak to moderate impact on their positions on European integration, debt mutualisation through the creation of Eurobonds, and remaining in the EMU; there is no clear division between the two sides of each cleavage analysed. In these three questions, the two largest parties tend to take the same position, while the two smaller parties diverge, largely due to the differentiated statements and choices of the BE. The range of positions found among the main left-wing parties in opposition does not allow us to state there are striking differences in party positioning on these three subjects due to ideology or the parties’ situation vis-à-vis government. Quite the contrary, the opinions on renegotiating the Portuguese debt and the cut in pensions are marked by a strong left vs. right-wing divide, or a government vs. opposition divide, in which the left-wing/opposition parties are clearly more in favour of renegotiation and more against the cuts than the right-wing parties. The size of the parties has little impact; whereas the smaller parties express similar positions, the two larger parties express diverging attitudes in their official documents.
**Tabela 2** > Differences in parties’ attitudes expressed in the 2014 Electoral Programmes according to their ideology, size and situation vis-à-vis government

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Left/Right-Wing (Opposition/Government)</th>
<th>Large/Small Parties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General attitude to the European integration</td>
<td>Weak</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renegotiation of the Portuguese debt</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining in the Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)</td>
<td>Weak</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt mutualisation of European states with the creation of Eurobonds.</td>
<td>Weak</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent cuts to pension and benefits</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONCLUSIONS**

Ever since the «Europe with us» ['A Europa connosco'] slogan, launched by the PS in the 1970s, public opinion and, above all, the political and economic elites in Portugal have had a positive perception of the European integration process. For many years, Europe was associated with prosperity, modernity and social progress. However, these well established facts have been questioned in recent years. Since the economic and financial crisis of 2008, and in particular the troika’s entry in Portugal in May 2011, this perception of European politics and its goodwill, and the purely positive vision of the EU has gone into decline. The 2014 European elections are taking place in this context and, as the first elections since the onset of the crisis, they are of great importance.

In this article, we have analysed five competition dimensions in the May 2014 European elections. The fundamental conclusion is that, despite the recent deterioration in the public’s opinion of the EU, there is remarkable continuity in the positions on the Europe project held by the parties with parliamentary representation. For example, despite the cleavage on the renegotiation of the public debt, Portugal’s main political parties (PS and PSD, the latter in a coalition with the CDS-PP) still agree that Portugal should remain in the EU and the Economic and Monetary Union. The CDU, which is the most Eurosceptic in the Portuguese party system, maintains its usual position. As for the BE, even though it is one of Europe’s left-wing parties, in fact its position on Europe is somewhat ambiguous and half-hearted.

Taking into account the moment when this article was written (a few weeks before the European elections), and the focus on five specific dimensions rather than a more wide-ranging approach, this is a preliminary and partial analysis. Indeed, anything can
still happen in terms of the political communication the parties’ positions on Europe and the economic crisis. Notwithstanding, in light of the constraints associated with the positions taken by the main players in the Portuguese party spectrum, it is extremely unlikely that major changes will take place in the panorama outlined herein. Whatever the case, our conclusions can only gain from subsequent validation and confirmation after the end of the 2014 European election campaign.
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ENDNOTES

5 This and other data on the attitudes of Portuguese public opinion on Europe were taken from the Standard Eurobarometer 80 during the autumn of 2013. The survey’s main results are available in the Eurobarometer’s official website. Available at: http://ec.europa.eu/public_opinion/archives/eb/eb80/eb80_en.htm (accessed on 1st April, 2014).
null
Due to the lack of an official document on the coalition’s common position on this matter, we used the electoral programme of PSD and CDS-PP, respectively on this specific point. Cf. Programa Electoral PSD 2011, p. 33.
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