Lupus nephritis in a patient without evidence of systemic lupus erythematosus
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ABSTRACT

Lupus nephritis is a common complication of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), usually developing early in the course of the disease.

Almost all patients with lupus nephritis present with positive ANA and anti-dsDNA, hypocomplementaemia and renal manifestations (haematuria, proteinuria and/or renal failure). However, in a small number of patients, the initial presentation is lupus nephritis with absent serology or clinical features of systemic lupus erythematosus.
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INTRODUCTION

According to the American College of Rheumatology and Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics, the diagnosis criteria for systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) comprise clinical (cutaneous lupus, oral or nasal ulcers, alopecia, arthritis, serositis, renal or neurologic involvement, haemolytic anaemia, leukopaenia and thrombocytopenia) and immunological (ANA, anti-dsDNA, anti-Sm, antiphospholipid antibody, hypocomplementaemia, and positive Coombs) parameters. Four criteria are needed to make a diagnosis, with at least one of these clinical, and one immunological. Nevertheless, in some cases, diagnosis can be established with a renal biopsy showing lupus nephritis, together with ANA and anti-dsDNA.

Lupus nephritis is a common and potentially lethal complication of SLE. Around 23 to 60% of patients with SLE develop kidney manifestations in the first three years of the disease. Early diagnosis and prompt treatment reduce morbidity and mortality. Typically, the kidney biopsy shows a glomerular full house immunofluorescence staining (IgA, IgG, IgM, C3, C4, and C1q with ≥1+ intensity). Some patients present this pattern without clinical or serologic criteria for SLE (lupus-like glomerulonephritis).

CASE REPORT

A 43-year-old man with nephrotic syndrome was referred to our Nephrology Department. Patient’s relevant medical history was chronic alcoholic disease, active smoking and recently diagnosed arterial hypertension. His deceased father had had end-stage kidney disease of unknown aetiology. A sister suffered...
from Cushing’s syndrome. The patient had no previously known kidney pathology. He had been admitted to the Intensive Care Unit in October 2015 with sepsis and Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome associated with bilateral pneumonia. At this occasion, haematuria was detected. Echocardiogram was negative for infectious endocarditis. A gradual resolution of the respiratory insufficiency allowed transfer to the ward at day 8 and he was discharged at day 16.

In February 2016, during a routine appointment, nephrotic syndrome was detected (proteinuria 13 g/24h, serum albumin 2.3 mg/dL, total cholesterol 437mg/dL and triglycerides 216 mg/dL). Urinary sediment showed 5-10 erythrocytes per high-power field, without cylindruria. It was only then that he was referred to our Nephrology Department.

His serum creatinine was 0.96 mg/dL. ANAs (<1/160) and ANCA (<1/20), Hbs Atg and HCV were negative. No hypocomplementaemia was detected (C3 = 100 mg/dL, C4 = 23mg/dL). Serum IgG was low (383 mg/dL), but both IgA and IgM were normal. Immunoelectrophoresis showed no alteration. Biomarkers for malignancy were negative and thyroid function was normal. Ultrasound showed normal-sized kidneys, with regular contours and normal differentiation. No alterations were found in the medical imaging study – echography and CT of central nervous system, thyroid, thorax, abdomen, and prostate, and video endoscopy of the gastrointestinal system.

A kidney biopsy was performed in April 2016. It showed 17 glomeruli, 3 fully sclerotic, and another 3 with segmental sclerosis. In the light microscopy the glomeruli were lobulated, a mesangiocapillary proliferation was remarkable and a thickening of the glomerular basement membrane (no double contour), with subendothelial and sub epithelial deposits was depicted (figure 1). Fibrinoid necrosis and crescents were absent. Tubular atrophy and interstitial fibrosis implicated around 25% of the sample. There was moderate inflammatory lymphocytic infiltrate of the tubules and interstitium, light hyaline arteriosclerosis and moderate atherosclerosis. Immunofluorescence showed a full house pattern (C1q, C3c, C4, IgG, IgA and IgM were all strong positive). Electron microscopy revealed diffuse podocyte fusion, thickening of the capillary basement membrane, expansion of the mesangial matrix, and also numerous sub epithelial, intramembranous and mesangial immune deposits (figure 2). Hence, a pattern of membranous and diffuse proliferative glomerulonephritis was described. Final histological diagnosis was lupus nephritis stage IV+V, index of activity 4, index of chronicity 4. Simultaneously, patient’s ANAs (<1/160) were negative and C3/C4 were normal (C3 97mg/dL and C4 21mg/dL).

Immunosuppressive therapy was initiated at that time, using oral mycophenolate mofetil 2g/day plus oral prednisone 1mg/kg/day. Unfortunately, on the 8th of August 2016 the patient developed a herpes zoster infection in his right arm, which was treated with oral acyclovir. At another routine Nephrology appointment,
on the 17th of August, his laboratory data showed partial remission of proteinuria to 2.9 g/day, and sustained normal kidney function. Therefore, prednisone doses were reduced to 30 mg/day. On the 25th of August 2016, he was readmitted to the hospital ward due to worsening of the nephrotic syndrome and also a cutaneous bacterial superinfection of the herpes zoster area. At this time, immunosuppression was necessarily reduced.

At the last routine Nephrology appointment (October 2016), the proteinuria had climbed to 6.6 g/day but kidney function remained normal. Serology for SLE remained negative (ANA <1/160, C3 108 mg/dL and C4 29mg/dL). Then, mycophenolate mofetil was increased to 1g/day and prednisone to 0.5mg/kg/day. No other infections were noted thereafter.

**DISCUSSION**

Lupus nephritis is one of the major complications of Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. The pathognomonic immunological alterations aren’t always present at the time of diagnosis, challenging us in the differential diagnosis process.

Fortunately, the anatomical-pathology markers of this disease are quite specific. For that reason, when present they should raise the suspicion of lupus nephritis, even in the absence of other serological or clinical criteria for SLE. The differential diagnosis comprises other entities with similar presentation, such as membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis, post-infectious glomerulonephritis, and immunotactoid/fibrillary glomerulonephritis. The presence of typical signs of lupus nephritis at electron microscopy, namely tubuloreticular inclusions and also mesangial, sub endothelial and sub epithelial deposits, together with the typical findings on immunofluorescence are decisive to reach this diagnosis.

This disease should be distinguished from C1q nephropathy. In the latter, a pattern of glomerulonephritis with predominant mesangial C1q deposition on immunofluorescence and absence of tubuloreticular inclusions is present, but other histological features that resemble lupus nephritis may be present. However the typical SLE serology is negative and, also in contrast with lupus nephritis, C1q nephropathy is not responsive to immunosuppressive therapy. Sharmann *et al.* analyzed a series of 9 patients with presumed seronegative lupus nephritis. After 6 years of evolution showing no serological evidence of systemic lupus erythematosus and absent response to immunosuppressive therapy, the biopsies of these patients were reassessed, and afterwards their diagnoses was changed to C1q nephropathy.¹

One should emphasize that, in our case, impressive proteinuria together with diminished serum IgG could impact on ANA titers into a false-negative status.²

Seronegative lupus nephritis has an unspecific aetiology-pathogenesis and also a variable prognosis. Some cases can develop positive serology later in the course of the disease³-⁶, after 6 to 10 years of follow-up. On the contrary, other subgroups of patients, mainly paediatric,⁷ maintained persistently negative SLE serology during 5 years of follow-up. Recently, a series of four adults with lupus nephritis was described. Their SLE serology was either persistently negative or presented low titer of ANA within 8 months to 4.5 years of follow-up.⁸
Treatment and prognosis of lupus-like nephritis remain unclear, although limited data suggest a poor prognosis. Pirkle et al.\textsuperscript{10} presented the case of a 21-year-old female with lupus-like nephritis, proteinuria of 1.2g/day and a positive lupus anticoagulant. She was treated conservatively with an aldosterone receptor antagonist class 2, and her proteinuria subsided, maintaining normal renal function after 4 months.

In a retrospective analysis by Ruggiero et al.\textsuperscript{11} of 42 children and adolescents with fullhouse nephropathy treated with immunosuppression, the great majority (76.2\% of cases) did not show significant kidney failure or even develop complete remission of the renal dysfunction present at diagnosis, after a median of 5 years of follow-up.

On the other hand, in the Huerta et al. series, with histology resembling lupus nephritis class IV, immunosuppressive therapy was ineffective. Yet, another identical case, described by Simmons et al.\textsuperscript{12}, improved with this treatment.

Lupus-like glomerulonephritis reports in the adult population are scarce. Moreover, there is limited experience in the follow-up and treatment of this subset of patients. Most series published so far are mainly of paediatric age. Presently, there is no relevant information regarding the clinical presentation and evolution of this disease in adults. We present this case as an example of a rare disease that remains to be considered in the differential diagnosis of patients with this kind of presentation and requires specific and early treatment.
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