ABSTRACT

Tubulointerstitial nephritis is commonly related with the use of medication or the presence of infection. When uveitis precedes, follows or simultaneously occurs, a distinct and rare syndrome develops as part of the systemic illness. The initial investigation of tubulointerstitial and uveitis syndrome should exclude other systemic diseases that affect the eye and kidney. The diagnosis must include histopathological and clinical findings. The clinical course and treatment may rely on immunomodulatory agents.

Case: 24-year old obese male admitted with rapidly progressive renal insufficiency without any identified precipitant factor that responded well to intravenous metilprednisolone. Renal biopsy revealed acute tubulointerstitial nephritis. Uveitis appeared when systemic steroids were tapered.
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CASE REPORT

When acute interstitial nephritis has systemic involvement: TINU syndrome

Quando a nefrite intersticial aguda tem atingimento sistémico: síndrome de TINU
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INTRODUCTION

Tubulointerstitial nephritis (TIN) is most frequently related with the use of medication, infection or autoimmune diseases (Table I) and rarely presents other systemic involvement. About 15% of biopsies in acute kidney injury reveal TIN. When uveitis precedes, follows or simultaneously occurs with nephritis, a distinct and rare syndrome (TINU) develops as a part of the systemic illness. Several unspecific signs can be present, such as fever, abdominal pain or anaemia, and it is three times more common in women.

Although TINU’s physiopathology is still not well understood, the clinical course and treatment may rely on immunomodulatory agents to prevent and treat inflammation. Renal involvement is diversified, ranging between urinary findings such as sterile pyuria, haematuria and subnephrotic proteinuria to mild or severe renal insufficiency. Most adult patients have incomplete recovery.

CASE REPORT

A 24-year old Caucasian, obese (mean BMI 32.2 kg/m²) male presented with nausea, fatigue and vomiting with a 3-day history of diarrhoea and right flank pain for which he was given non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). He was then admitted to the nephrology department with rapidly progressive renal insufficiency. The patient had no relevant past medical or family histories.

On admission he presented normal physical examination and was afebrile and normotensive. Laboratory tests conducted at the hospital showed haemoglobin 12.6g/dL, leucocytes 13300 μL, platelet count 455000 μL, blood urea nitrogen of 22mg/dL, serum creatinine 3.55mg/dL, C reactive protein (CRP) 139mg/L, urinalysis with proteins ++, blood + and no dysmorphic erythrocytes or eosinophils. Blood and urine cultures were drawn and he was started on saline 0.9% IV drip and empiric antibiotics (amoxycillin and clavulanic acid) due to fever and elevation of inflammatory laboratory parameters of unknown origin. Afterwards blood and urine bacteriologic cultures from admission revealed to be sterile. Further laboratory tests showed normal serum calcium (9 mg/dL), phosphorus (3.7mg/dL), sodium (135mmol/L), potassium (4mmol/L), chloride (100mmol/L), bicarbonate (25mmol/L), fasting glucose (84mg/dL) and parathyroid hormone (120 pg/mL).

On the 5th day of admission, serum creatinine rose up to 5.63 mg/dL and metilprednisolone IV was given for four days, 500mg/day improving serum creatinine to 3.81mg/dL and then prednisolone 60mg/day was given orally. Inflammatory biomarkers remained elevated and amoxycillin and clavulanic acid was replaced with piperacillin and tazobactan. At the time, twenty-four hour urinalysis indicated

Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causes of Acute Tubulointerstitial Nephritis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drugs</strong> &gt; 75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSAIDs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Including selective cyclooxygenase (COX)-2 inhibitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allopurinol; Acyclovir; Indinavir; Famotidine; Cimetidine; Furaseamide; Omeprazole; Lansoprazol; Phenytion; Rifampicine; Sulfamides; Vancomycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Infection</strong> 5-10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brucella; Campylobacter; Chlamydia; Escherichia coli; Legionella; Salmonella; Streptococcus; Staphylococcus; Yersinia; Corynebacterium diphtheriae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viruses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoalgalovirus; Epstein-Barr virus; Adenovirus; Hantavirus; Human immunodeficiency virus; Poliovirus; Hepatitis C virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptospira; Mycobacterium tuberculosis; Mycoplasma; Candida; Rickettsia; Shistosoma; Toxoplasma; Leishmania; Francisella; Tropoana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Systemic disease</strong> 10-15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoimmune</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sjögren Syndrome; Systemic lupus erythematosus; Rheumatoid arthritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcoidosis; Wegener’s granulomatisis; Hypoparathyroidism; Hyperthyroidism; Lymphoproliferative disorders; IgG4 related disease; Hypocomplementemic tubulointerstitial nephritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Idiopathic</strong> 5-10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antitubular basement membrane antibodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TINU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs). Adapted from Paladini et al. 2003.)
419 mg proteinuria. The immune assays performed were normal or negative for anti-nuclear antibodies (ANA), anti-double stranded DNA antibodies (Anti-dsDNA), anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA), anti-glomerular basal membrane (GBM), rheumatoid factor (RF), anti-hepatitis C virus (HCV), anti-hepatitis B virus (HBV) and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) 1 and 2 antibodies. Renal ultrasound was unremarkable. Epstein Barr virus serology indicated previous exposure to the virus with positive IgG antibodies and viral capsid antigen (VCA) IgG antibodies, without positive VCA IgM antibodies.

In the absence of clinical features such as granulomatous lesions and lymphadenopathies with no pulmonary involvement in thoracic X-ray and normal range serum angiotensin conversion enzyme (ECA), tuberculosis and sarcoidosis were excluded. There were no clinical signs for other autoimmune disease, such as arthralgia, skin involvement or any allergic reactions previous to this episode. The patient was discharged twelve days later, asymptomatic with improved renal function. Renal biopsy was postponed, even tough infection was excluded; there was underlined systemic inflammatory reaction at the time, as well as all technical difficulties secondary to the patient’s phenotype.

The patient was readmitted a few months later maintaining improved renal function with a serum creatinine of 1.47 mg/dL, C-reactive protein (CRP) 15 mg/L, medicated with 20 mg of prednisolone per day. Renal biopsy was then performed and revealed signs of acute tubulointerstitial nephritis with normal glomeruli and interstitial oedema with cellular infiltration, mainly lymphocytes. Neither granulomatous lesions nor fibrosis or eosinophils were found. Immunofluorescence was negative. (Figs.1 and 2)

Six months after being admitted, and while tapering prednisolone to 15 mg per day, he started presenting burning sensation, photophobia and redness in both eyes, accompanied by decreased visual acuity. The ophthalmologist affirmed to be a non-granulomatous anterior uveitis and added topical steroids to the existing systemic steroid therapy. Two weeks after the uveitis improved.

The patient is still being medicated with prednisolone 2.5 mg/day and ramipril 1.25 mg/day, as well as topical ocular steroids. He presents normal renal function with serum creatinine of 1.15 mg/dL and 306 mg/24 hour proteinuria. He is aware of the possibility of frequent relapse and he maintains a regular follow-up with the ophthalmologist.

**DISCUSSION**

The TINU is a rare syndrome with an estimated prevalence between 2% in tertiary uveitis centres,
up to 28% of TIN biopsies in Chinese adults. Usually nephritis precedes uveitis in 65% of the cases, as the case presented before. New reports indicate that TINU is underestimated since it can be subclinical in patients presenting isolated uveitis.

Autoimmune diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis, saccroileitis, and hyperthyroidism, as well as systemic infections and the use of several drugs have been described prior to the appearance of TINU syndrome. These insults act like triggers and seem to cause exuberant immune reactions, sufficient to cause an impact on the kidney. In this particular case, although the presentation of unsppecific systemic features as fatigue, flank pain and fever, our patient had no other signs of infection at admission. No infectious agents were isolated and serological survey for most common agents of TIN was negative, compatible with the usual clinical presentation of TINU syndrome and inherent systemic inflammation that commonly last longer than 2 weeks. Empiric antibiotics were given to the patient when he was already admitted for rapidly progressive renal insufficiency. Although he had high systemic inflammatory markers, there were no other immunological or clinical signs, compatible with autoimmune diseases.

At the time, since drug induced interstitial nephritis could always be a possibility and renal function kept worsening, IV metilprednisolone 500mg/day was started for four days, improving renal function. Although there are no randomized trials or prospective studies comparing placebo to steroid therapy studying dose and treatment duration, patients with progressive renal insufficiency are typically treated with prednisone at the dose of 1mg/Kg/day until 40 to 60mg/day for 3 to 6 months and then slowly tapered. In our case, similarly to the one presented by Sinnamon et al., when reducing the course of oral prednisolone (in their case 0.8 mg/kg/day tapered to zero over 18 weeks) there was relapse of anterior bilateral uveitis two weeks later, requiring reinstitution of oral prednisolone at 10 mg daily.

Ambiguity in the general therapeutic approach is, once more, related to the fact that TINU’s physiopathology is not well clarified. Both humoral and cell-mediated immunity seem to be implied, with lymphocyte T having a more prominent role. Autoantibodies directed against tubular and ocular antigens may also be implicated, as well as some determined HLA profiles (HLA-DQA1*01, HLA-DQB1*05, and HLA-DQB1*03). The TINU syndrome has also been associated with positive antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA), antinuclear antibody (ANA), rheumatoid factor (RF) and hypocomplementemia, none of the latter features was present in our patient.

In TINU syndrome patients, renal biopsy presents distal tubules that stain strongly with anti-Krebs von den Lunge-6 (KL-6) antibody, a human glycoprotein, suggesting that the elevated KL-6 levels may reflect underlying renal affection. Pepple et al. highlight the importance of urinary β2-microglobulin testing in the paediatric patient uveitis population because extreme elevations in urinary β2-microglobulin may be used with concomitant renal biopsy to differentiate between TINU and sarcoidosis. Autoantibodies against modified C-reactive protein (mCRP-Ab) were also measured and seem to be useful in predicting late-onset uveitis TINU syndrome.

The diagnosis of TINU requires the presence of TIN and uveitis and the exclusion of other systemic disease directly causing the same features. Li et al. showed that late-uveitis TINU was clinically and pathologically indistinguishable from drug-induced TIN at biopsy. Mandeville et al. proposed several criterions for more accurate diagnosis. Our patient has a definite TINU syndrome hence fulfilment of the three criteria, acute TIN diagnosed 1) histopathologically 2) clinically and 3) the presence of typical uveitis. The three criteria for the clinical diagnosis for acute TIN are abnormal renal function, abnormal urinalysis and systemic illness lasting two weeks or more.

Histopathologically, most patient biopsies reveal diffuse tubulointerstitial inflammation, with cellular infiltration predominantly T lymphocytes, accounting approximately for 48% of all inflammatory cells, but it is also possible to observe B lymphocytes (12%), plasma cells (11%) monocytes/macrophages (24%), and neutrophils (6%). Eosinophils are also occasionally seen. Fibrosis is usually ≤ 25% of the interstitial area and immunofluorescence staining is commonly negative for Ig or complement.

The differential diagnosis should include other systemic diseases that involve the eye and kidney like sarcoidosis, Wegener’s granulomatosis, Sjögren syndrome, systemic lupus erythematos, rheumatoid arthritis, IgG4-related disease and infectious diseases,
such as tuberculosis. Besides the previous investigation, it should also contemplate excluding Behçet’s disease and systemic sclerosis.12.

The TINU syndrome should be considered in cases of “idiopathic” acute interstitial nephritis and in those with the sudden onset of anterior uveitis. In TINU syndrome, uveitis is typically non-granulomatous, anterior and bilateral. Cycloplegic agents are used to avoid the development of posterior synechiae12. Patients with uveitis should get at least a urinalysis with the purpose of identifying any signs of proximal or distal tubular dysfunction as polyuria, nocturia, aminoaciduria, normoglycaemic glycosuria, phosphaturia and acidification defects. Laboratory findings may also include eosinophilia, normochromic normocytic anaemia, slightly abnormal liver function tests, none of which were present in our patient, only high CRP.5

In this case, there was complete recovery of the renal function, but renal prognosis is variable ranging from complete or incomplete recovery to persistent chronic kidney disease in some cases.12. Although topical and systemic steroids are successfully used to treat uveitis, it is likely to recur and relapse. There are reports of limited number of patients using steroid-sparing immunosuppressant agents, such as azathioprine, methotrexate22, cyclosporine22 and mycophenolate mofetil23,24, rarely biologic agents have been used. Tumour necrosis factor (TNF) blockers have been demonstrated to be effective in the treatment of systemic and ocular inflammatory diseases. Adalimumab seemed safe and effective also in refractory uveitis patients, although further studies are necessary to check its effect in refractory TINU, indicating a possible role in TNF in TINU pathogenesis.25,26

In conclusion, TINU remains a diagnosis of exclusion based on the presence of uveitis and acute tubulointerstitial nephritis turning renal biopsy and the absence of other disease that could explain theses two findings mandatory. In some cases, previous infection, use of medication or autoimmune disease may have an important role in the incidence of TIN and TINU. Ocular manifestations can recur and may need prolonged treatment, therefore, ophthalmological follow-up is warranted. The incidence of TINU syndrome may be increasing and the epidemiology probably is changing too, revealing a growing prevalence in men and the elderly.12. Due to its unpredictable clinical course, ranging from limited symptoms to chronic kidney and eye disease, it is deemed necessary to have in mind the possibility of every TIN and every uveitis to evolve into a TINU syndrome. Further randomized, prospective and multi-centred studies are necessary to have consensual therapeutic approach.
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