Add insult to injury: An unusual cause of renovascular hypertension
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ABSTRACT

Resistant hypertension is a clinical condition that needs an aetiological investigation with the purpose of establishing if there is a secondary cause that can be treated. The authors describe a rare cause of secondary hypertension. We report a case of a 40-year-old Caucasian man followed in an outpatient nephrology clinic, since January 2012, with renal insufficiency and hypertension known for a year. An initial aetiological study was performed, including an endocrinological study, which revealed a secondary hyperaldosteronism, a renal ultrasound that further revealed a diminished left kidney and a renal artery Doppler ultrasound that described a normal arterial blood flow. The patient was admitted in the nephrology department presenting malignant hypertension that included hypertensive retinopathy with retinal haemorrhage. At the time, the patient initiated several convulsive crises and had to be admitted in the intensive care unit, needing invasive mechanical ventilation. To exclude renovascular disease causing the clinical scenario, a computed tomography angiography was performed, showing extensive thrombosis of the distal aorta involving at least the renal left artery. The immunologic study showed positive antiphospholipid antibodies, anticardiolipin antibodies and anti-beta2-glycoprotein 1 antibodies.
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RESUMO

A hipertensão arterial resistente é uma condição clínica que carece de investigação etiológica, cujo objectivo é identificar causas secundárias passíveis de serem corrigidas. Os autores descrevem uma apresentação rara de hipertensão secundária. O caso consiste num doente que é seguido em consulta externa desde Janeiro de 2012 por insuficiência renal e hipertensão diagnosticadas há um ano. Foi realizado um estudo etiológico no qual constou um estudo endocrinológico que demonstrou um hiperaldosteronismo secundário e uma ecografia renal que revelou um rim esquerdo de dimensões reduzidas mas com uma ecografia com Doppler sem alterações do fluxo sanguíneo ao nível das artérias renais. Posteriormente
INTRODUCTION

Resistant hypertension is defined by the American Heart Association (AHA) as blood pressure that is not properly controlled with the use of three anti-hypertensive agents from different classes, with one of them being a diuretic, and all agents should be prescribed at optimal dose amounts. The AHA definition of resistant hypertension fails to differentiate the true resistant hypertensive patients from the other forms of hypertension, such as apparent or pseudo-resistant hypertension.

Resistant hypertension does not always imply secondary hypertension but secondary causes are common in these patients although the overall prevalence is unknown. To avoid potential for harm of the invasive diagnosis procedures, for example, contrast-induced nephropathy, the evaluation of the secondary hypertension should be performed only in patients with high likelihood of benefiting from the procedure and those who will most probably benefit from its correction.

The most common causes of secondary hypertension that have to be investigated on the hypertensive patient’s initial study and that often coexist in the resistant hypertensive patient, are primary aldosteronism, renovascular disease, renal parenchymal disease and obstructive sleep apnoea.

The patient’s evaluation shows several clinical clues that suggest the presence of secondary hypertension, such as severe resistant hypertension, an acute rise in blood pressure that was previously stable under medication and a younger onset hypertension without a documented family history. If the patient presents an abrupt onset of accelerated hypertension, asymmetric renal size, elevated serum creatinine and severe or refractory hypertension, the clinician should suspect renovascular disease.

CASE REPORT

We report a case of a 40-year-old Caucasian male followed in an outpatient nephrology clinic, since January 2012, for renal insufficiency and hypertension diagnosed for over a year. The patient’s personal history included three episodes of anaphylactic shock caused by the intake of a fruit component still not identified and a moderate smoking habit.

The initial aetiological study began in the outpatient clinic with biochemical evaluation of the treatment-resistant hypertension that included a routine metabolic profile: haemoglobin (12.9g/dL), parathyroid hormone (88pg/mL), calcium (9.9mg/dL), phosphorus (3.6mg/dL), sodium (137mmol/L), potassium (4.45mmol/L), chloride (103mmol/L), bicarbonate (28mmol/L), fasting glucose (82mg/dL), blood urea nitrogen (41mg/dL), and creatinine (2.39mg/dL). Morning plasma aldosterone was 32 ng/dL (normal range 1 to 16 ng/dL) and plasma active renin was 371.8 pg/mL (normal range up to 27.8 pg/mL), tested without mediation with angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin II receptor blockers and/or beta-blockers. Thyroid hormones and vanilmandelic acid were in the normal range, as were serum 17-ketosteroids and 17-hydroxycorticosteroids. The urinalysis was normal.

A renal ultrasound with arterial doppler revealed asymmetric renal size (left kidney measuring 80mm and right kidney 125mm), but without describing renal blood flow impairment.
The patient started to present other clinical signs, such as worsening asthenia with progressive debility and weight loss, loosing up to 5Kg in the last 5 months, and further investigation had to be performed. Four months after starting the investigation, his monthly mean serum creatinine levels were around 2.6mg/dL. The immune assays performed were normal or negative for anti-nuclear antibodies (ANA), anti-double stranded DNA antibodies (Anti-dsDNA), anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA), anti-hepatitis C virus (HCV), anti-hepatitis B virus (HBV) and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) 1 and 2 antibodies. Anticardiolipin antibodies (aCL) IgG were 75.10 GPL/mL (normal range up to 15.0 GPL/mL) and IgM were 33.4 MPL/mL (normal range up to 15 MPL/mL) and the anti-beta2-glycoprotein1 (anti-b2GPI) antibodies IgG were normal but the IgM were 23.5 U/mL (normal range up to 15U/mL). Complement levels including CH50, C4, C1q were between normal values, though C3 was slightly lower 0.74g/L (normal range between 0.79 and 1.52g/L). Serum immunoglobulins were normal.

The patient was submitted to abdominal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) that showed slight hyperplasia of the left adrenal gland and confirmed the diminished volume and lack of parenchymal differentiation of the left kidney (measuring 76mm).

In August 2012, despite being medicated with furosemide, diltiazem, clonidine, minoxidil and a beta-blocker, acceptable blood pressure control was not achieved. Deterioration of the renal function was observed when inhibitors of the angiotensin-converting enzyme were administered at the time.

The patient was then admitted to the nephrology unit with malignant hypertension that included hypertensive retinopathy with retinal haemorrhage causing visual impairment of the left eye. He had several convulsive crises and was transferred to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) where he was intubated and mechanically ventilated for five days. Although the renal function continued to worsen (serum creatinine rose up to 4.39mg/dL), a computed tomography angiography (CTA) was deemed necessary, to exclude renal artery stenosis. The CTA revealed thrombosis of the distal aorta from the insertion of the mesenteric artery to the bifurcation of the primitive iliac arteries, with complete obliteration for a longitudinal extension of 12 centimetres, involving at least the left renal artery. The right renal artery presented thrombosis in the initial segment but it maintained normal blood flow. The external and internal iliac arteries were supplied by collateral blood vessels (Fig. 1).

The patient’s blood pressure remained uncontrolled, reaching a maximum value of 210/90mmHg even with labetalol and dinitrate perfusions, clonidine, nifedipine, spironolactone and minoxidil given orally. While in the ICU, heparin perfusion was started and the patient was afterwards transferred to the Reference Vascular Surgery Department at the Hospital de Santa Maria, in Lisbon. He was submitted to thrombendarterectomy of the superior mesenteric artery and both of the renal arteries and arterial bypass from the visceral aorta to the left iliac artery and femoral artery.

He was discharged in September having a controlled blood pressure, medicated with a beta–blocker, a calcium channel blocker and oral anticoagulation. The serum creatinine at discharge was 2.0mg/dL.

The antiphospholipid antibodies tests were afterwards repeated when the patient had a clinical event and they confirmed that it was not a transitory elevation. Anticardiolipin IgG and IgM antibodies remained elevated (60.5 GPL/mL and 69.6 MPL/mL, respectively).
and the anti-b2GPI IgM antibodies had also high levels (26.5 U/mL), with normal IgG.

One year after the surgery the patient remained with controlled blood pressure.

**DISCUSSION**

The challenge, in this case was to find the secondary cause for the renovascular hypertension that was leading to the fast and progressive deterioration of the renal function and other end-organ signs. Its rare aetiology had great impact on its way of diagnosis and fast progressive course.

Factors that produce a false impression of resistance hypertension are common and should be excluded in patients that are initially identified with resistant hypertension. Several studies show that renovascular hypertension is found in about 20% to 50% of patients with acute, severe or resistant hypertension and in 1% to 5% of all cases of hypertension.

In this patient, the first diagnostic approach revealed a small kidney and abnormal renal function suggesting a renovascular cause for the secondary hypertension. There were several identifiable clinical findings that suggested increased likelihood for the hypertension to be partly secondary, such as the presence of resistant hypertension, an acute rise in blood pressure over a previously stable value, and a young onset hypertension with a negative family history.

Renal artery stenosis (RAS) is the most common form of renovascular disease and it is known that more than 90% is from atherosclerotic origin leading to a reduction in the renal blood flow that can be identified by the increasing serum creatinine, as observed in our patient. In some cases, proteinuria or even nephrotic syndrome may be present. The RAS can be designated as unilateral disease if the renal stenosis affects only one kidney and the other one retains normal function or bilateral if both kidneys are affected or a solitary kidney is affected.

In this particular case, an atherosclerotic origin was excluded as the primary cause of the renal artery obstruction due to the absence of several known cardiovascular risk factors, like age and diabetes, being a smoker the only risk factor identified. It is known that the prevalence of atherosclerotic RAS rises with age and it clinically manifests as coronary (18%-20%), aortic or peripheral artery disease (35%-50%).

Testing for renovascular disease is associated with potential risks, particularly in patients with renal insufficiency. In our patient, the failure to control the blood pressure led to the further investigation to exclude renal artery stenosis, assuming that a corrective procedure would be considered if renovascular disease was confirmed. The performance of diagnostic studies to identify clinically significant RAS is indicated in patients with the following characteristics: (a) accelerated hypertension with sudden and persistent worsening of previously controlled hypertension; (b) resistant hypertension; or (c) malignant hypertension (hypertension with coexistent evidence of acute end-organ damage, i.e., acute renal failure, acutely decompensated congestive heart failure, new visual or neurological disturbance, and/or advanced [grade III to IV] retinopathy).

The gold standard imaging study to test for renal artery stenosis is the conventional renal angiography, an invasive procedure. Duplex doppler ultrasonography (US) is the preferred initial non-invasive diagnostic test of renovascular disease and provided us the information about the initial renal size asymmetry, but not abnormal renal blood flow. Both CTA and magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) provide minimally invasive options for diagnostic evaluation and are most accurate for proximal disease, identifying anatomic abnormalities of the kidneys, aortic disease and stenosis. The main limitations of these imaging techniques include the risk of contrast nephropathy with CTA and concerns regarding the potential for nephrogenic systemic fibrosis in patients with significant renal insufficiency. Table 1 shows the sensibility and specificity of the different imaging studies in the diagnosis of renal artery stenosis.
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Other findings can lead more specifically to renovascular hypertension, like unexplained acute and sustained rise in serum creatinine of more than 30% after initiating a renin-angiotensin system inhibitor, moderate to severe hypertension in a patient with diffuse atherosclerosis, renal asymmetry, or recurrent episode of flash pulmonary oedema, not present in this case.

Due to the potential high risk for cardiovascular events and high mortality rate it was promptly necessary to submit the patient to an invasive imaging study. A CTA was performed and it revealed thrombosis of the distal aorta involving at least the left renal artery. At this point, the low likelihood of atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis causing the thrombosis was reinforced. There were no previous records or exams that could confirm a known atherosclerotic disease, especially peripheral arterial disease, so the investigation for the primary aetiology for the thrombosis had to be continued.

Immune assays indicated the presence of APS, which is an autoimmune prothrombotic disorder due to pathogenic autoantibodies directed against proteins that bind to phospholipids. The exact mechanism by which these autoantibodies inflict a prothrombotic tendency remains to be clarified. It leads to a global thrombotic predisposition and in this particular patient, the suspicion initially started due to the unique catastrophic clinical presentation. Although the primary APS is not associated with other clinical or laboratory evidence of an associated clinical condition, multiple clinical presentations are possible due to the involvement of several vessels and multiple vascular occlusions. At least one clinical (vascular thrombosis or pregnancy morbidity) and one laboratory (aCL, LA or anti-b2GPI antibodies) criteria have to be met for the classification of APS. In the general population, the prevalence range of antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL) is about 1% and 5%, but only a minority develops APS.

In addition to these APS classification criteria, the consensus paper provides specific definitions for commonly associated clinical manifestations of APS, such as livedo reticularis, cardiac valve disease, thrombocytopenia and nephropathy, present in 25% of primary APS. In our patient, only nephropathy was present.

Definite antiphospholipid antibody syndrome is present if at least one clinical criterion and one of the laboratory criteria are met, with the first measurement of the laboratory test performed at least 12 weeks from the clinical manifestation. In this case, the APS was diagnosed by the presence of considerable arterial thrombosis confirmed by imaging studies and the presence of aC1L antibodies, the most common immunological abnormalities in the APS. Because of the non-inflammatory occlusion, a broad spectrum of renal blood vessels can be affected, such as in this case, the aorta and renal artery.

This form of presentation of APS also meets the criteria for the Asherson’s syndrome or the catastrophic antiphospholipid syndrome (CAPS), which consists of a catastrophic and potentially lethal form of the disease corresponding to 1% of all cases of APS. The diagnosis criteria for preliminary classification for catastrophic APS were summed up in a statement consensus that was published in 2003.

The first criterion is the evidence of involvement of three or more organs, systems and/or tissues, usually clinical evidence of vessel occlusions, confirmed by imaging techniques when appropriate. Renal involvement is defined by a 50% rise in serum creatinine, severe systemic hypertension (> 180/100 mm Hg) and/or proteinuria (> 500 mg/24 h). The second criterion is the development of manifestations simultaneously or in less than a week. The third criterion is confirmation by histopathology of small vessel occlusion in at least one organ or tissue, or significant evidence of thrombosis, although vasculitis may coexist occasionally. The fourth criterion involves a laboratory confirmation of the presence of antiphospholipid antibodies.

In our case, at least three of these criteria were fulfilled which suggests probable catastrophic APS. The patient had renal involvement without proteinuria and severe systemic hypertension, including hypertensive retinopathy with retinal haemorrhage. There was also evidence of vessel occlusions with significant thrombosis, confirmed on the CTA.

The most common manifestations of renal involvement in APS, as demonstrated in this case,
are thrombosis or stenosis of renal artery, kidney infarction, thrombosis of the renal vein and end-stage renal disease/renal failure\(^2\), microvascular thrombosis being less common although it can potentially manifest itself as CAPS\(^2\). Histologically, the primary APS is classified mainly as a non-inflammatory occlusion of several blood vessels ranging from glomerular capillaries to the main renal artery and vein. The vascular events reflect the site and size of the involved vessels\(^2\).

Positive aCL antibodies at least 12 weeks before clinical manifestation were detected and confirmed after the thrombotic event. No biopsy was performed to confirm microthrombus due to the fast clinical deterioration and the evident imaging of the extensive aortic thrombus.

After the thrombendarterectomy, the patient’s blood pressure and kidney function improved. No surgical complications have been reported on the first year after surgery. Patients who require aortic reconstruction near the renal arteries, for example as the ones who have severe aortoiliac occlusive disease or aneurysm that need surgical repair, the preferred initial procedure is surgical revascularization, that seems to have a similar efficacy in controlling the blood pressure as the percutaneous transluminal renal angioplasty\(^2\). The treatment of arterial events in patients with APS continues to be a controversial subject and further investigation must be done\(^2\).

**CONCLUSION**

This case is a rare form of renovascular hypertension related to a primary antiphospholipid syndrome with catastrophic presentation.

Despite being a relatively common syndrome, APS can present itself as a life-threatening event that can be identified and treated if the differential diagnosis is done.

More prospective studies are necessary to establish the ideal treatment of the arterial thrombosis in the APS patient.
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