ABSTRACT

Currently, the most challenging problem in the field of renal and other solid organ transplantation is the development of chronic progressive sclerosing changes in the allograft. These occur almost uniformly in all renal allografts at a rate of 2-4% per year. In addition, such changes are also quite prevalent in well functioning grafts, as revealed by protocol biopsies. The chronic changes involve all the four components of the renal graft parenchyma, i.e., the glomeruli, blood vessels, tubules and interstitium. Among these, the glomerular and vascular changes are helpful in defining the causes of chronic changes, especially chronic rejection, but are more prone to sampling error, notably the blood vessels, whereas the tubulo-interstitial changes are less specific. However, because the later are less prone to sampling error, these are used for grading the severity of chronic changes in the Banff formulation. The identification, classification and grading of not only the acute but also the chronic changes is of vital importance in guiding the management and predicting the long-term graft outcome of renal transplant recipients. Banff has addressed the issue of chronic changes in detail in its first formulation, as well as its subsequent modifications. However, the magnitude of changes in this category that have occurred over the last two decades is less than that observed in the categories of antibody-mediated and T-cell-mediated rejections. This review describes in detail the changes that have taken place in the category of chronic allograft damage, as the original Banff classification has undergone updates regularly in the last two decades.
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are more prone to sampling error, especially the blood vessels, whereas the tubulo-interstitial changes are less specific. However, because the latter are less prone to sampling error, these are used for grading the severity of chronic changes in the Banff formulation. The identification, classification and grading of severity of not only the acute, but also the chronic changes, is of vital importance in guiding the management and predicting the long-term graft outcome of renal transplant recipients5-7.

As already described in our previous reviews on the Banff classification updates, prior to 1991, there was no single internationally standardized system for the uniform reporting of the pathological lesions on renal allograft biopsies8-12. The Banff working classification represented the first step towards formulation of an international, consensus-based and structured classification system for the diagnosis and categorization of renal allograft biopsy pathology10-12. To realize this objective, the first Banff meeting was held at Banff, Alberta, Canada on August 2-4, 1991 and the first detailed report that narrated the outcome of the meeting was published in 1993 and is widely known as Banff 93 classification13. This was then followed by regular, follow-up meetings every two years, mostly in Banff, Canada, but more recently, also in some other places of the world, to continuously update and adapt the classification to the latest developments and advances in the field of renal transplant pathology14-21. Almost all the meetings have been followed by updates and revisions in the original Banff schema with the modifications highlighted in papers published in the premier journals of nephrology and transplantation14-21. The Banff schema not only addressed the issue of acute allograft pathology, but also dealt with the chronic fibrosing changes in sufficient detail. Although the basic framework of the schema and the semiquantitative lesion scoring have largely remained the same, there have occurred significant changes in both the nomenclature and the classification of the original diagnostic categories including category 5 which dealt with the chronic fibrosing changes in the allograft11,12,14-20.

The history of evolution of the Banff classification concerning the terminology and classification of chronic fibrosing changes in the allograft is interesting and reflects our continued and better understanding of the pathophysiology of these changes. It is important to clarify at the outset that the terms “acute” and “chronic” in the context of transplant pathology do not always equate with their usual pathological connotations13. In this regard, it is pertinent to note here that some chronic changes including fibrous intimal thickening and tubular atrophy may be present in renal allograft biopsies at the time of transplantation. These constitute the so-called donor-related changes. The time-zero (implantation) biopsies are essential to document such changes, so that they serve as useful reference for the interpretation of future renal allograft biopsy lesions.

Prior to 1991, the term “chronic rejection” was widely used for all causes of chronic allograft dysfunction17. This was unfortunate, in that the chronic changes in the allograft are not only caused by alloimmune mechanisms but also by the non-immune mechanisms. In fact, these non-immune mechanisms may be more prevalent than the alloimmune mechanisms in many cases. The Banff formulation introduced the term chronic allograft nephropathy (CAN), in 1991, as a more generic alternative to the misleading and the then popular term of “chronic rejection”13. The Banff 93 classification divided CAN into three grades based on the extent of tubular atrophy and interstitial fibrosis. No subdivision of CAN category was made and all causes of chronic changes were lumped together in this one category13. This again was unfortunate in that this discouraged or rather prompted the transplant pathologists to render the diagnosis of CAN with little effort to look for specific features of chronic diseases affecting the graft. In addition, many morphological features of, for instance, chronic antibody-mediated rejection, were not known at that time. It is also apparent from a close scrutiny of Banff 93 schema that the main focus of this classification was on the diagnosis and categorization of acute/active cell-mediated rejection13. There is very little discussion on the diagnosis or categorization of chronic changes in this classification.

In the Banff 1995 report, chronic allograft nephropathy index (CADI) was integrated with the CAN category to grade the severity of the chronic changes14. No subclassification of CAN was, however, made in this update of the original classification.

In the Banff 97 classification, an attempt was made toward encouraging the pathologists to seek
closely the specific features of chronic changes in the allograft, and identify and document these changes (Table 1). Some of these specific morphological features are shown in Figures 1 through 4. A subdivision of each of the grades of rejection into “a” and “b” category was done depending on the absence or presence of specific features related to “chronic rejection”\textsuperscript{15}. The grading of the CAN category however remained the same as in previous classifications. No changes in the nomenclature or grading of CAN were made in 97-update classification (Banff 2001 meeting) or Banff 2003 meeting reports.

In the meantime, although the advent of CAN terminology implied that it is a non-specific and non-committal term just describing the chronic fibrosing changes affecting the graft, the widespread use of this term, in turn, resulted in the misunderstanding that this is a specific disease entity. The term remained in use for a good period of 14 years before it was eliminated in 2005 Banff meeting. A major change in the category of chronic fibrosing changes thus occurred in the Banff 2005 meeting with the result that the term CAN was eliminated and replaced by interstitial fibrosis/tubular atrophy (IFTA), no evidence of specific aetiology\textsuperscript{18}. The causes of “a” subcategory

---

**Figure 1**

A. Early chronic changes with patchy mild to moderate interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy (IFTA). The glomeruli are relatively normal. (Silver stain, ×100).

B. Advanced chronic changes with glomerulosclerosis. This will be graded as moderate IFTA. (Periodic acid-Schiff stain, ×200).

C. Severe degree of IFTA with involvement of all the components of renal parenchyma and widespread global glomerulosclerosis. (Trichrome stain, ×100).

D. The same biopsy as shown in C on silver stain. (Silver stain, ×100).
Morphological features of some specific diseases causing interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy (IFTA) of the renal allograft. A. Widespread hyaline arteriolosclerosis and mild fibrointimal thickening suggest hypertension as the cause of IFTA. (Periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) stain, ×200). B. Silver staining showing intimal fibroelastosis with lymphocytic infiltration in the intima in a hypertension induced IFTA. (Silver stain, ×400). C. Moderate IFTA with dilatation of some tubules containing inspissated Tamm-Horsfall protein casts (arrow). (PAS, ×200). D. There is extravasation and inflammatory cell reaction around a large Tamm-Horsfall protein cast (arrow). (Haematoxylin and Eosin, ×400).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphological features of specific diseases other than alloimmune rejection causing chronic fibrosing changes in the allograft.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aetiology</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcineurin inhibitor toxicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic obstruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacterial pyelonephritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent/de novo glomerulopathies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of CAN in previous classifications were moved to the “other” category, while the chronic alloimmune causes were included in the respective categories of antibody-mediated rejection (ABMR) and T-cell-mediated rejection (TCMR) as chronic active types (Table 2). Thus, category 5 in the Banff 2005 and all subsequent updates, now includes only those cases of chronic changes for which no specific aetiological features can be found on the biopsy (Table 3).

In the Banff 2009 meeting, a new development took place in the form of establishment of Banff Working Groups (BWGs) to address the problematic areas of the Banff classification in more detail. A working group was also established to assess the problems of the definition, interpretation and quantification of fibrosing injury in the renal allografts and native kidneys.

Preliminary results by the BWG have shown a good correlation between the visual fibrosis scoring using the trichrome stain with the computer and immunohistochemical (IHC) stains based image analysis methods. The group is further refining the diagnostic criteria and testing various staining methods and techniques to further improve the interobserver reproducibility and the predictive value of the chronic fibrosing changes for the ultimate graft outcome.

Figure 3
Morphological features of some specific diseases causing interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy (IFTA) of the renal allograft. **A.** There is dense mixed inflammatory cell infiltration in the interstitium associated with numerous neutrophils, suggesting bacterial pyelonephritis. (Haematoxylin and Eosin (H&E), ×200). **B.** Tubular microabscesses (arrows) in two tubules strongly suggestive of bacterial pyelonephritis. (H&E, ×400). **C.** Transplant arteriopathy suggestive of chronic active T-cell-mediated rejection with formation of neo-intima and intimal infiltration by lymphocytes (arrow). (Periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) stain, ×200). **D.** The same artery at high magnification with intimal arteritis (arrow) and formation of neo-intima. (PAS, ×400).
A number of additional approaches have also been investigated to better quantify the degree of chronic changes in the renal allograft. These include among others computer based image analysis after Sirius Red staining, computerized morphometry combined with IHC stains with type III collagen, smooth muscle actin and tenascin, and the quantification of mast cells as a surrogate marker for allograft fibrosis. However, almost all morphometric methods are time consuming, tedious and have intrinsic limitations related to sampling error. The improvements in scanning, spectral analysis, and the computer software in the future will provide better measurements of fibrosis for the objective and standardized evaluation of renal allograft function.
5.  Chronic allograft rejection

Evolution of the approaches toward grading and classifying chronic changes in the renal allograft: Banff classification updates III

Table 3
The main evolutionary changes in the diagnostic category of chronic changes in Banff classification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-Banff</th>
<th>1st Banff</th>
<th>Banff ‘97</th>
<th>Banff ‘97 Update</th>
<th>Banff ‘05</th>
<th>Banff ‘07</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade II: moderate</td>
<td>Grade II: moderate</td>
<td>Grade II: moderate</td>
<td>Grade II: moderate</td>
<td>Grade II: moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III: severe</td>
<td>Grade III: severe</td>
<td>Grade III: severe</td>
<td>Grade III: severe</td>
<td>Grade III: severe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The changes in the nomenclature and classification are highlighted by underlining the additions.
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