Increased Gastric Retention Capacity, Assessed by Scintigraphy, after APC Treatment of Dilated Gastrojejunal Anastomosis
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Abstract
Background: Weight regain occurs in about 20% of patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB). Studies have reported that in most cases this regain is associated with dilatation of the gastrojejunal anastomosis. To correct this dilatation, one of the methods used is the application of argon plasma coagulation (APC). Case: The authors report the case of a 39-year-old woman submitted to RYGB who had weight regain. In the endoscopic evaluation, the patient presented with dilatation of the gastrojejunal anastomosis, for which treatment with APC and an adjusted diet was proposed. After 3 sessions of APC, the patient presented with a reduction of the anastomosis diameter, weight loss, and increased satiety to food, with an increased gastric emptying time evidenced by scintigraphy. Conclusion: APC proved to be a safe and efficacious method.
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Aumento da Capacidade de Retenção Gástrica, Medido por Cintigrafia, após Tratamento com APC de Anastomose Gastrojejunal Dilatada
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Resumo
Introdução: O reganho de peso após bypass gástrico em Y de Roux (RYGB) ocorre em cerca de 20% dos doentes. Estudos relatam que na maioria dos casos este reganho está associado a dilatação da anastomose gastrojejunal. Para corrigir esta dilatação um dos métodos utilizados é a aplicação de árgon plasma (APC). Caso: Os autores relatam o caso de uma mulher de 39 anos de idade, submetida a RYGB, que apresentou reganho de peso. Na avaliação endoscópica a doente apresentava dilatação da anastomose gastrojejunal sendo proposta a realização de APC e dieta ajustada. Após 3 sessões de APC, a doente apresentou redução do diâmetro da anastomose associada à perda de peso, aumento da saciedade alimenar e aumento do tempo de esvaziamento gástrico documentado em cintigrafia.

Conclusão: O tratamento com APC mostrou ser um método seguro e eficaz.
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Introduction

Obesity is considered a worldwide epidemic. Bariatric surgery is one of the safest and most effective methods of combating obesity and its comorbidities. The most common surgical modality in Brazil and the second most common around the world is the Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) [1].

Patients submitted to RYGB tend to have a significant weight loss. When this loss does not occur as expected or when a patient regains weight after an initial loss, a review surgery may be necessary [2]. In most cases, the cause of weight regain is dilatation of the gastrojejunal anastomosis. An alternative to corrective surgery is the use of argon plasma coagulation (APC) in several sessions with reduction of the caliber of the gastrojejunal anastomosis. The reduced size leads to a delay in gastric emptying, increasing the satiety time of the patient, and thus is conducive to weight loss. APC is a less invasive method than surgery, and when the patient is followed up by a multidisciplinary team, the results are often satisfactory.

Case Report

The authors report the case of a 39-year-old woman who was submitted to RYGB in 2003. At surgery, her weight was 119 kg (body mass index [BMI] = 45.4). For 5 years after the procedure (2003–2008), the patient had been able to maintain her target weight by following the recommended diet. She reached a minimum weight of 70 kg (BMI = 26.7), at which time further consultations were considered unnecessary.

In 2012, she again attended a doctor’s appointment due to weight regain. She reported progressive weight gain over the previous 4 years (2008–2012) associated with lack of satiety after eating that did not improve with dietary corrections and exercise. Blood tests did not identify any alterations in cell counts, thyroid function, or iron kinetics or vitamin deficiencies, and the patient did not present any other comorbidities. She was assessed by a psychologist, who found no disorder.

Gastric emptying scintigraphy was performed, which showed an accelerated gastric transit with retention of 42% at 30 min (reference value >70%) and 18.2% at 60 min (reference value >30%). In the absence of reference values for RYGB patients, standard reference values for normal individuals were used. Satiety was empirically evaluated after a standard solid meal: 150 g of rice, 50 g of beans, 60 g of chicken, and 2 leaves of lettuce; the patient reported satiety for only 30 min after the meal. Consequently, the patient was referred to a multidisciplinary team including a nutritionist and physiatrist, but was unable to lose weight despite a diet plan and optimized physical activity schedule.

Four years later, in 2016, after a multidisciplinary discussion of the case, the risks and benefits of endoscopic therapy and review surgery were elucidated, and the patient decided for APC sessions. In the endoscopic evaluation, she had an adequately sized stomach pouch (5 cm) with a dilated gastrojejunal anastomosis measuring 30 mm. At the time of the RYGB surgery, the gastrojejunal anastomosis was handsewn using a Fouchet catheter 12 mm in diameter.

At the first treatment session (February 2016), the patient weighed 93.5 kg. Argon was applied around the entire circumference of the anastomosis and to approximately 1.5 cm of the proximal gastric mucosa (power: 70 W; flow: 2.0 L/min). After the procedure, the patient was prescribed a restricted liquid diet for 1 week, after which she resumed a standard solid diet. The patient reported feeling satiated for 1 h after consuming her first solid meal.

Eight weeks later (April 2016), APC was performed again. The patient weighed 79.5 kg and the anastomosis was 21 mm in diameter. The procedure was performed according to the same settings as the first session, and the patient was again prescribed a restricted liquid diet for 1 week. She reported feeling satiated for 2 h after her first solid meal after the second session.

The last APC session took place 8 weeks later (June 2016). The patient weighed 72.5 kg, the surgical pouch was 4 cm, and the anastomosis was 14 mm in diameter. APC was applied around the entire circumference of the anastomosis and extended to about 0.5 cm of the proximal mucosa.

One month after the last procedure, the patient weighed 67 kg and she reported satiety for 2.5 h after solid meals. On endoscopic evaluation, the stomach pouch measured 4 cm and the anastomosis was 11 mm in diameter. A solid retention scintigraphy study was performed, showing gastric transit with retention of 87.5% at 30 min and 69% at 60 min. Table 1 describes the variations in weight and main measurements throughout treatment.

Discussion

Bariatric surgery, the most effective method of combating obesity, is associated with a reduction of associated comorbidities and prolonged patient life [1]. There are several possible surgical techniques, with RYGB, one of the most common techniques, producing excellent results. However, some patients have short- or long-term complications. These complications must be treated by a multidisciplinary team, with endoscopic evaluations playing a fundamental role [3]. Up to 20% of the patients submitted to treatment tend to have weight regain 5–10 years after the surgical procedure [4]. The causes of this

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>First session</th>
<th>Second session</th>
<th>Third session</th>
<th>Final</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>67.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet diameter, mm</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-min retention, %</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>87.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-min retention, %</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
weight regain are numerous, but they include metabolic dysfunctions, relaxed diets, a sedentary lifestyle, hormonal changes, fistulas, a large stomach pouch, and dilatation of the gastrojejunal anastomosis [3–6]. In fact, dilatation of the gastrointestinal anastomosis is one of the most common causes [7, 8].

Abu Dayyeh et al. [9] performed a study that directly correlated the size of the perimeter of a gastrojejunal anastomosis to weight regain in a series of patients who were followed up for 4 years after RYGB. The authors suggested that dilatation of the anastomosis should be evaluated as a predictor of weight regain. A recent meta-analysis also concluded that dilatation of the gastrojejunal anastomosis was associated with postsurgical weight regain [5].

Several surgical and nonsurgical procedures have been implemented to treat patients with dilatation of the anastomosis [10]. Spaulding [11] proposed sclerotherapy injections into the muscular wall of the anastomosis to reduce its diameter. More than 1 treatment session was required for the majority of patients evaluated, and the results were modest [11]. Some endoluminal procedures have also been developed, with good results but using high-cost platforms [12]. Kumar and Thompson [6] carried out a study on patients with weight regain after RYGB in whom the anastomosis was greater than 15 mm in diameter. The patients underwent an endoscopic suturing procedure to reduce the diameter of the anastomosis. After the procedure, the patients safely and efficaciously returned to their desired weight.

An alternative endoscopic treatment is the application of APC on the tissue bordering the gastrojejunal anastomosis to cause retraction of the dilatation. APC is a noncontact photocoagulation method endoscopically applied to tissue after sedation in an outpatient setting [13]. In 2009, Aly [14] reported a case of APC in a patient with weight regain after RYGB that produced satisfactory results and concluded that this technique is effective and safe. Baretta et al. [4] evaluated the safety and efficacy of APC in a study that included 30 patients with weight regain after RYGB who had dilatation of the gastrojejunal

Fig. 1. Endoscopic images showing the evolution of the anastomosis along the treatment sessions. From left to right: before treatment; after the first treatment session; before the second treatment session; after the second treatment session; before the last treatment session; and after the last treatment session.

Fig. 2. Evolution of the caliber of the gastrojejunal anastomosis and gastric retention of solids with argon plasma coagulation treatment.

Fig. 3. Evolution of the patient’s body weight with treatment.
The authors report in this case in order to highlight the efficacy and safety of the application of APC in conjunction with an adequate dietary plan led to a rapid and effective loss of the patient’s regained weight, i.e., 26.5 kg (28.3% of the total body weight of the patient) in 7 months of follow-up, even reducing it below the minimum weight achieved after RYGB (70 kg) (Fig. 3). The authors report this case in order to highlight the efficacy and safety of this widely accessible and easy-to-execute method. The increased gastric retention of solids, as seen by scintigraphy, explains the prolongation of the sensation of post-prandial satiety and most probably contributes to a better adherence of the patient to her dietary plan and to the excellent results described with this technique.
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