CLINICAL CASE

Visceral Angioedema Induced by Angiotensin Converting Enzyme Inhibitor: Case Report
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Abstract
Introduction: Intestinal angioedema is a rare adverse effect of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors.
Clinical case: A 42-year old woman presented to the Emergency Department complaining of diffuse abdominal pain, predominantly in the right quadrants, with no other associated symptoms. She had been started on perindopril plus indapamide 72 h before the admission for arterial hypertension. There was no other relevant background. Physical examination suggested peritoneal irritation on the lower quadrants of the abdomen and right flank. Laboratory tests were relevant for mild leukocytosis. Abdomen ultrasound and contrast-enhanced computed tomography scan showed moderate amount of fluid in the pelvic excavation and small intestine wall thickening. She was admitted for observation. Once the hypothesis of intestinal angioedema was admitted, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor was withheld and no other-directed therapy was instituted. Within 24 h she showed clinical, analytic and imaging improvement, thus supporting this diagnosis.
Conclusion: The diagnosis of intestinal angioedema induced by angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor can be challenging and time consuming due to its rarity and nonspecific symptoms, which may lead to underdiagnosis of this entity.
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1. Introduction

Angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors are widely used in clinical practice. Angioedema is a rare adverse reaction, occurring in approximately 0.1–0.7% of patients.\(^1\) Although the risk to an individual is relatively low, the large number of people taking these medications, calculated in more than 40 million patients in the United States, means the absolute number of ACE inhibitor induced angioedema is, in fact, significant.\(^1\) Most of the cases described in literature refer to angioedema of the lips, tongue, face, or airway.\(^1\) More rarely, visceral involvement may occur, especially in the jejunum.\(^1^-^2\) The exact incidence of visceral angioedema induced by ACE inhibitor is unknown and this condition is probably underdiagnosed.\(^1^4^-^7\) In a literature review from 1980 to 2010, Korniyenko et al reported 27 published cases.\(^3\)

The authors present a case of intestinal angioedema induced by ACE inhibitor.

2. Clinical case

A 42-year old caucasian woman presented to the Emergency Department complaining of diffuse abdominal pain, predominantly in the right quadrants, for the last 10 h. She was previously asymptomatic and, at admission, did not have any other symptoms, including nausea, vomiting, diarrhea or any other gastrointestinal symptom, breathing difficulty, cough, rash or pruritus.

She had been started on perindopril plus indapamide 72 h before the admission for arterial hypertension, with no other relevant background.

At admission, significant findings on physical exam included an arterial pressure of 112/72 mmHg, pulse of 61 per minute, peripheral oxygen saturation of 100% on room air and tympanic temperature of 37 C. There was no swelling of the face, oral cavity or tongue, dyspnea or stridor. Abdominal examination showed signs of peritoneal irritation on lower quadrants of the abdomen and right flank.

Laboratory tests were relevant for mild leukocytosis with high neutrophil count (12,910 leukocyte/μL, 79.2% of neutrophil). The remaining analysis, including liver enzymes were within the normal range – aminotransferase aspartate: 22 U/L, aminotransferase alanine: 22 U/L (normal 4–33/4–50, respectively), pancreatic amylase: 28 U/L (normal 13–53), lipase: 24 U/L (normal 13–60) or C-reactive protein: 0.35 mg/dL (normal <0.5). Abdominal ultrasound revealed thickening of intestinal wall associated with abdominal fluid. A contrast-enhanced abdominal computed tomography (CT) was done for further characterization (Fig. 1), showing a moderate amount of liquid in the pelvic excavation and wall thickening of small intestine, cecum and ascending colon.

She was admitted for observation. Once the hypothesis of intestinal angioedema was admitted, ACE inhibitor was withheld. The patient was started on intravenous fluids and analgesics and kept on nothing by mouth status. Within 24 h she showed clinical, analytic and imaging improvement, with ultrasound still revealing moderate free fluid, but less expressive wall thickening of the small intestine loops.

The clear improvement in 24 h without other treatment besides perindopril withholding, in the scenario of no symptoms or history suggestive of any alternative diagnosis strongly supported ACE inhibitor induced angioedema.

The patient was discharged home, advised not to resume any ACE inhibitors. One year later she remained asymptomatic.
3. Discussion

Visceral angioedema induced by ACE inhibitor is a rare, but well-established entity, described in some publications. Studies suggest that elevated levels of bradykinin, a vasodilator peptide degraded by angiotensin converting enzyme, result in vasodilation and increased vascular permeability causing the edema. Female sex appears to have a major predisposition to it and its incidence is five times greater in black people. Jejunum is most often affected followed by ileum and duodenum. There are reports of gastric involvement. ACE inhibitor induced angioedema is characterized by the absence of pruriginous rash.

It presents as diffuse abdominal pain, diarrhea, vomiting and anorexia. Symptoms usually begin 72 h after starting ACE inhibitor, but there are reports of angioedema that developed after weeks or years of therapy. Typically symptoms resolve 48 h after ACE inhibitor suspension. The laboratory evaluation is often normal or it may exhibit mild leukocytosis.

Radiologic studies show small intestine wall thickening, mesenteric edema and ascites that may simulate ischemia or inflammatory bowel disease. Typically, there are target-like images on contrast-enhanced radiologic studies specific of intestinal wall edema, no matter the cause of the edema.

Oudit et al. proposed four diagnostic criteria based on the use of ACE inhibitor (regardless of dose and duration of use), nonspecific abdominal complaints in the presence of visceral edema (with or without ascites), resolution of symptoms and radiological changes following discontinuation of ACE inhibitor and lack of alternative diagnoses.

In this report, opposite to other publications, the only complaint was abdominal pain, with no additional gastrointestinal symptoms. However, presentation within 72 h after starting perindopril, unspecific laboratory findings, as well as characteristic imaging were suggestive and facilitated the diagnosis of ACE inhibitor angioedema. Furthermore, as there is not a single, definitive diagnostic test it is crucial to rule out other possible diagnoses. In this case, the clinical history and complementary methods of diagnosis allowed the exclusion of acute hepatitis or pancreatitis, mesenteric ischemia, intestinal obstruction, appendicitis, acute cholecystitis or lymphoproliferative disease. Acute gastroenteritis was very unlikely, given the lack of other symptoms beyond abdominal pain. There was no personal or family history that led into the hypothesis of hereditary C1 esterase inhibitor deficiency, vasculitis or inflammatory bowel disease. Global improvement after suspension of the drug, with no other-directed therapy, supported this hypothesis.

4. Conclusion

Visceral angioedema is a rare, but well-described adverse effect of ACE inhibitor therapy. The diagnosis is often challenging and time consuming, requiring careful evaluation of the patient’s medication and their side effects.
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