Myeloid sarcoma involving the cervix – about a clinical case
Sarcoma mielóide do colo do útero – a propósito de um caso clínico
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Abstract
Myeloid sarcoma is defined as a tumour of myeloblasts and immature myeloid cells that occurs in an extramedullary tissue. It is a rare form of presentation, which may arise in different locations and the cervix is one of the most unusual places. The available therapeutic options are chemotherapy and radiotherapy. The main prognostic factors are the response to initial treatment and cytogenetic abnormalities. This article describes the case of a woman presenting pelvic pain and vaginal discharge as chief complaints. After detection of a mass in the uterine cervix, the diagnosis was established by histology and immunophenotyping.
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INTRODUCTION

Acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) may have different forms of presentation in extramedullary (EM) locations with or without bone marrow (BM) disease. Myeloid sarcoma (MS) is a well established form of presentation of AML. It's defined as a tumour of myeloblasts or immature myeloid cells that occurs in an EM place. It can occur as infiltrates of myeloblasts in any anatomic site of the body, however they are only classified as MS if they alter the architecture of the tissues involved. The most common sites of involvement are subperiosteal bone structures, as well as skin, lymph nodes, soft tissue, central nervous system, gastrointestinal tract and orbit. In the female population, the genital tract and the breasts are sites that may also be affected, although less frequently. MS can be diagnosed as an isolated form, when there is no previous history of leukaemia, myelodysplastic or myeloproliferative syndrome and a normal BM aspirate. It can occur before the onset of AML, concurrently with the diagnosis, or as a post-treatment stage, as a form of recurrent disease with or without spinal cord involvement.

Epidemiologically, MS has an incidence of 2/1,000,000 in the adult population and is described in approximately 2.5 to 9.1% of patients with AML.

The case presented here intends to illustrate a rare form of MS presentation and its most relevant defining characteristics, in terms of pathology, epidemiology, management and prognosis.

CLINICAL CASE

Female patient, 53-year-old, caucasian, with the following past medical history: erythema nodosum, bilateral episcleritis, disc herniation, depressive syndrome, pericardial effusion history. Unremarkable social and family history. She had her menarche at the age of 12, gravida 3, para 2, abortion 1 and menopause at 49 years old. At the time of diagnosis, she was taking tibolone as hormonal replacement treatment and was applying topical vaginal oestrogen cream.

Present illness dates back from April 2015, when she had an episode of vaginal bleeding. The only anomaly reported then was an intramural pericentimetric myoma that the pelvic ultrasound exposed. In June 2015 the patient complained of yellow leucorrhoea associated with increasing pelvic pain. Gardnerella vaginallis...
was identified in the exudate (treated with clindamycin). Due to persistent complaints, a colposcopy was undertaken in August and the result was negative for intraepithelial lesion (NILM) with inflammation. The finding of a hard cervix with easy bleeding led to colposcopy with biopsy of the cervix and curettage of the cervical canal. The anatomopathological examination showed MS, triggering the referral to our Institution. The physical examination was unremarkable, except for the gynaecological examination, that revealed a yellow leucorrhoea and an enlarged and hardened cervix with 5-6cm of diameter, although the superficial epithelium was smooth, without erosions or proliferative lesions. The digital rectal examination detected only an enlarged cervix with apparently spared parametria.

The blood count, serum biochemistry, chest x-ray and electrocardiogram were normal. The BM aspirate for myelogram and the immunophenotype study by cytometry revealed no abnormalities. A computed tomography (CT) scan of the thorax, abdominal and pelvis found “a tissue density mass on the cervix, with 6.5 cm of transverse length and 4.8 cm of longitudinal length, suggesting a neoplastic process, coexisting adenopathies with 15x9mm in the right common iliac chain and in the left external iliac situation with 18 x 12 mm” (Figure 1). A review of the biopsy slides and a new biopsy of the cervix were performed and both confirmed the diagnosis. Immunohistochemistry confirmed the result (immunophenotype: CD45+, CD68+, MPO+, CD34-, CD20-, CD3-) (Figure 2).

The treatment was set in a joint meeting between onco-hematology and radio-oncology units. Initially, the patient started with a chemotherapy “7+3” protocol (idarubicin and cytarabine), followed by consolidation with cytarabine in high dose according to the Institution protocol for AML (Table I) and concluded with pelvic external radiotherapy for a total of 30Gy. The hospitalization period for receiving induction chemotherapy and to allow hematologic recovery was 31 days. The most relevant complications of the induction chemotherapy were an episode of neutro-

**FIGURE 1.** Axial and sagittal diagnostic CT of the pelvis – Tissue density mass of the cervix, with 6.5 cm of transverse length and 4.8 cm of longitudinal length suggesting a neoplastic process, coexisting adenopathies with 15x9mm in the right common iliac chain and in the left external iliac situation with 18 x 12 mm
penic enterocolitis with need for parenteral nutrition and a severe transfusion reaction, both resolved without sequelae. The assessment of the response by clinical examination and CT scan after treatment, showed regression of the cervical and ganglionary changes observed initially, remaining only a discrete thickening of the cervix (Figure 3). Based on this assessment, we consider that the patient had a complete response to the treatment. Currently, she is asymptomatic and maintains follow-up visits in onco-hematology and gynecology units, with a 3 months’ interval between them with focus in blood count and gynaecological examination.

**DISCUSSION**

The granulocytic sarcoma (GS), also called chloroma, myeloblastoma, extramedullary myeloid tumour and monocytic sarcoma, was first described in 1911 by Burns. In 1953, King renamed it chloroma because of its yellowish green appearance, secondary to high levels of myeloperoxidase. Dock and Warthin recognized its association with the LMA in 1904. In 1966, Rappaport established the nomenclature of GS and described it as a destructive solid tumour composed by immature cells of the granulocytic lineage. Later, in 1988, this nomenclature had been amended by Davey to extramedullary myeloid tumour cells. In 2001, the World Health Organization (WHO) set a new nomenclature for this entity, renaming the myeloid sarcoma and classifying it into two categories: the granulocytic sarcoma and monoblastic sarcoma.

According to the literature, the female genital tract, is an unusual place for MS. In this anatomical area,
the ovary is the most affected organ (about 36.4%)\textsuperscript{7} followed by the cervix and body of the uterus (about 10%)\textsuperscript{14}.

The clinical presentation of this condition can be diverse and related to the affected site and the size of the mass. Therefore, the symptoms are caused by compression with pain, bleeding and, sometimes, systemic symptoms possibly occurring\textsuperscript{1,4,6,14}. In our case, we found local symptoms and growing abdominal pain but absence of systemic symptoms.

For the diagnosis, the imaging studies such as CT scan and ultrasound are useful but not specific and, in most cases, only confirming the presence of a mass\textsuperscript{14}. The diagnosis is made by histology and by immunohistochemical and immunophenotyping studies. Morphologically, MS is characterized by diffuse proliferation of primitive myeloid cells with oval, irregular, reniform or folded nuclei, fine chromatin, distinct to proeminent nucleoli and scant to moderate cytoplasm\textsuperscript{1,8,20}. The diagnostic accuracy is aided by immunohistochemical and immunophenotypic studies. According to the WHO classification of 2008, cytochemical colorations include chloroacetate esterase, myeloperoxidase and nonspecific esterase. The immunophenotypic panel may comprise CD68/KP1, MPO, CD117, CD99, CD68/PG-M1, lysozyme, CD34, TdT, CD56, CD61, CD30, glycophorin and CD4. This study can be performed in paraffin sections or by fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS). The panel must be added with B and T lineage markers for differential diagnosis\textsuperscript{4,5,7}. In the present case, we highlight the importance of obtaining a definitive diagnosis before any treatment procedures, in order to apply the most adequate systemic treatment and avoid inappropriate surgery which could cause disease dissemination\textsuperscript{7}. In addition to these diagnostic elements, literature describes cytogenetic changes associated with MS in about 50% of the cases. The most frequent changes are inv(16) and involving chromosome 8 in particular, t(8; 21) (q22; q22), suggesting that the genomic changes are more frequent than previously characterized. The prevalence of MS in patients with
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\caption{Axial and sagittal follow-up CT – demonstrating complete regression of the cervical mass}
\end{figure}
A rare form of AML presentation and its potential response to therapy.

The recommended treatment regimen in patients with isolated MS or concomitant with AML is the conventional AML chemotherapy protocols, based on evidence of a higher rate of progression to AML in isolated MS patients receiving localized treatment (88-100%), when comparing with patients given systemic chemotherapy (42%)\(^4\). Although, it is widely established that systemic treatment used to treat AML patients should be given to isolated MS patients, the optimal therapeutic option is still unclear\(^7\). Other therapeutic approaches such as post-remission treatment with external radiotherapy, as well as, hematopoietic cell transplantation, are, however, controversial\(^5\).\(^7\).

Due to the fact that MS is a rare disease, it is difficult to establish prognostic factors\(^3\). The data on the initial AML remission rate for patients aged 45-65 years is about 60%\(^1^8\). The isolated form of MS, appears to have a better prognosis than when it arises in association with AML or as relapse\(^4\). Cytogenetic abnormalities, such as t(8; 21), inv(16) and a good response to the initial treatment, both influence the prognosis positively\(^1^4\). Delay of the appropriate treatment has negative impacts on prognosis. If left untreated, on average, more than 50% of patients will develop AML in a short time period (5-11 months)\(^4\). In the present case, we expected a favourable prognosis because it was an isolated form of MS and it showed a favourable initial response to the therapy.

In conclusion, with this case report, the authors aim to highlight a rare form of AML presentation and its diagnostic process, where it was essential the gynecological examination together with the laboratory and imaging studies, in order to make an accurate clinical judgement and define a diagnosis, as well as the correct treatment to improve the life of this patient.
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