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ABSTRACT

The Day Hospital constitutes one of the main components of the community psychiatry and it is integrated in the current social psychiatry policy, representing one of the main alternatives to inpatient regimen. The concept was created in ex-URSS in the 1930's and spread to USA during the 40's and 50's, reaching its peak in the 1970's. Even though there was in the decades ahead a decline of expansion and an increased closure of day hospital programs, there has been in the last few years, a renewed interest as well as an increased number of established day hospitals. Although this phenomenon is related to evidence of cost effectiveness and social advantage, showing that they can provide feasible and effective care, new paradigms like acute home care can make it seem old fashioned and aged. Nowadays, a more intensive treatment and an increasing number of therapeutic models applied to different psychiatric disorders, settled by a modern community mental health care system, are becoming the reality of its practice. But the somehow heterogeneity of the community services that the designation day hospital comprises can make difficult the evaluation of its efficacy. The purpose of this review is to find out what is the position of day hospital in the context of actual and future mental health care.
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INTRODUCTION

Today we are assisting to the “deinstitutionalization” of Psychiatry and Mental Health with the disappearance of the traditional psychiatric hospital known as an “asylum system”. This was impelled by a change of paradigm in social and community policies and ideals with concerns on a closer supervision of patients within the local community. The day hospital is among some of the earliest forms of community and social psychiatry. “Community care” is expected to involve general practitioners and various public or private institutions to develop a network that will enable the sharing of knowledge regarding psychiatric disorders and therapeutic care.

As an alternative to both inpatient and outpatient treatment of acute, short-stay and some chronic psychiatric selected patients, it disposes most of the treatment normally available to inpatients, giving an emphasis to social and therapeutic groups. It contributes to the progressive readaptation to life in the community, keeping the patient’s place in the family and promoting the chance of individual freedom and individuals’ identity. Despite the growth of community care programs, many individuals with acute psychiatric disorders continue to be treated as inpatients, with additional treatment costs. Rationalization is necessary to realize the advantages of day hospital care and to minimize the dangers of institutionalization, as patients are sometimes isolated in hospital more often and for longer than it would be necessary.

HISTORY

The first reported day hospital was established in Moscow, ex-URSS, by M. A. Dzhagarov, in 1933, to reduce the duration of inpatient admission in a context of inadequate funds; it consisted mostly of occupational therapy. In the Western countries, the first one was born in Montreal in 1946, as part of a psychiatric teaching hospital, the Allan Memorial Institute, again in an attempt to reduce the demand for inpatient beds. Soon after, in the USA, a partial hospital program was established in 1948 at Yale, at the Menninger Clinic. In 1949 was settled another one in Kansas, and in 1952 at the Massachusetts Mental Health Centre. As the notions of “therapeutic community” expanded after the Second World War and better ways of dealing with psychiatric disorders evolved due to the advent of psychodrugs, it became apparent that the community needed a site where, discharged patients could be treated without formal inpatient admission. They became popular in the 1960’s after the setting up of partial hospitali-
zation program directed by the Community Mental Health Center Construction Act in 1963.7

In Europe, they also spread during the 1940s and 1950s, reaching their peak in the 1970s, when provided the main alternative for hospital admission.7 In 1948, it was established the first one in England, a “social club” at the Social Psychiatry Centre in London. Then, a number of other such settings followed, and by 1959 existed more than 38. The movement incorporated Bierer’s philosophy: “treatment must include the whole social environment of the patient and all his social relationships. [The patient] must be treated not only as a person but as part of a community,” written in the Lancet in 1959 (p. 901). There were community ideals favoring unrestricted and open communication, a culture of inquiry and group therapies. Similar developments reached the Netherlands and West Germany in the 1970s.8,9 At that time there was a rapid growth and expansion across Europe and USA.

However, during the eighties and nineties, that exponential growth was followed by a widespread closure and investment reduction on partial hospitalization programs,10,11 associated with the emerging evidence of limited cost-effectiveness;12,13 a rise in costs and certain underdefinition concerning treatment concepts (clinical population, the purpose of hospitalization and inappropriately length of stay).14,15 Finally, day hospitals started to face competition from more radical “non-institutional” alternatives such as assertive community treatment24 and acute home based care. These alternatives made them appear old fashioned, stigmatizing and expensive.16

Notwithstanding all these facts, in the late years, evidence is demonstrating effectiveness associated with emerging social trends built in a psychiatric reform context. An increasing proportion of psychiatric patients are being treated in day hospital settings.17,20 By 1999, at Germany, with the expansion described, there were 273 day hospitals,40 against the 60 settled in the beginning of eighties,21 and typically started not to be located on hospital grounds or not to belong to a psychiatric department.80,46 Also, at England it was reported by 2004 the existence of 102 acute day hospitals.25 In Poland it was expected an increase in the number of day hospitals, from 56 in 1985 to 430 in 2005.87

DAY HOSPITAL SETTINGS AND TREATMENTS CONCEPTS

In the past several decades various types of partial hospitalization programs have been developed with the purpose of offering either an effective alternative to hospital admission or an intermediate step after an inpatient stay. “Day” treatment signifies the absence of night-time as it covers the whole day (e.g. 9 to 4 pm) in 5-7 days a week. Although considered by many authors as a continuum, there seems to be some heterogeneity in the different “partial hospitalization” or “day care” programs such as, transitional care for patients leaving hospital, more intensive alternatives to outpatient care (day treatment programs), and support of long-term patients living in the community (day care centers).23,24,81

Day hospital as “partial hospitalization” program has a dynamic structure: it can provide diagnostic and treatment services for acutely ill patients who would otherwise be treated on traditional psychiatric inpatient units, it can offer treatment for patients experiencing some degree of remission from acute illness, and it can provide maintenance and rehabilitation for chronic psychiatric illness.25 This is accomplished by integrating pharmacological, psychotherapeutic and sociotherapeutic treatment integrated in multidimensional service including as well crisis intervention.80 In other words, as Shek et al. defined, is “an ambulatory treatment program that includes the major diagnostic, medical, psychiatric, psychosocial, and pre-vocational treatment modalities designed for people with serious mental disorders which require co-ordinated, intensive, comprehensive, and multi-disciplinary treatment not provided in an outpatient clinic setting facilities”.82

Globally, the objective is to reduce the impact of symptoms, providing emotional support, encouraging social connection, and restoring working capacities. Family members also receive psychological support in supportive groups for families and patients. As multidisciplinary day care facilities, they can offer a diversity of treatment programs, with different ideologies, goals, target populations, intensity and duration,86 suitable for regional needs27 as occurs in the Netherlands28 and UK.29 Marshall et al, differentiate four types of day hospital’s programme structure: (1) acute psychiatric day hospitals as alternative to admission for acute disorders, (2) transitional day hospitals for shortening admission of patients recently discharged from inpatient care, (3) day care centers for rehabilitation or maintenance of long-term disorders, and (4) day treatment programs as intensive alternative to outpatient care.35

The treatment programs are determined according the aims and objectives established by therapeutic orientations on a psychotherapeutic basis, depending this on the type mental disorder designated.30 The question that emerges if these are or not similar, although the wide spectrum of mental disorders,
how can it be traduced in structural and procedural differences? A recent survey by Seidler KP et al. in Germany studied the different therapeutic orientations and found that patients’ characteristics were the main determinants of the differences in treatment programs. Like Marshall et al. differentiate four types of day hospital programs these authors went further in the definition and proposed that it can be specified as three main areas of function (rehabilitation, psychotherapy, crisis intervention) and four therapeutic orientations (psychodynamic, sociotherapeutic, psychodynamic social psychiatric, behavioral social psychiatric). They described the rehabilitative orientated day hospitals by more weekend offers and more occupational therapy; between those with psychotherapeutic function and those with crisis intervention the differences are defined mainly by structural characteristics being the first ones more often institutionally affiliated. In turn, the psychodynamically orientated day hospitals offer more psychotherapeutic group as well team sessions, and the behavioral social psychiatric orientated have a special concern for individual related work with relatives. The sociotherapeutic orientated offer lesser places for treatment than psychodynamic social orientated, being predominantly located on hospital grounds. They also found as a majority the social psychiatric based orientation combined with psychodynamic or behavioral concepts. They concluded that there were no differences relative to some structural features (such as costs of care, number of employees and rooms), acute crisis beds, diagnosis-specific therapeutic offers and suicidal attempts.

**DAY HOSPITAL OR INPATIENT CARE?**

Fifty years of controversy seems to arise in comparing the costs between day hospital and inpatient care. At the beginning of the 70’s a greater emphasis was placed on partial hospitalization programs, but after limited evidence of cost-effectiveness it was verified to a partial closure of such programs. Meanwhile this tendency reverted once again as recent findings showed that a combination of both, as complementing or following each other, may be an appropriate therapeutic approach.

In many retrospective studies, day hospital has been as effective as inpatient treatment, and appeared superior in terms of reducing psychopathology in the short term and also in the prevention and reduction of readmission, a finding not shown for any other alternative to admission. A systematic review found that treatment in day hospitals led to cost reductions ranging from 20.9% to 36.9% over inpatient care, being generally cheaper and associated with greater treatment satisfaction than inpatient treatment. Further, a recent update of this systemic review concluded that caring for people in acute day hospitals can achieve substantial reduction in the numbers of people needing inpatient care, whilst improving patient outcome.

**SPECIALIZED DAY HOSPITALS**

Day hospitals specialized in certain mental disorders do exist, and in Europe, approximately one third are predominantly psychotherapeutic, addressing mainly personality disorders. These patients are, in recent years, being treated in day hospitals with a more psychodynamically orientation. A controlled randomized trial comparing short-term day hospital psychotherapy and outpatient individual therapy for moderate to severe personality disorders found a modest general improvement in a broad range of clinical measures. However, for the most severely ill patients it was no superior. For borderline personality disorder there are better defined programs and results compared to others persona-
A dialectic behavioral therapy has been applied but do not reach the same good results than the mentalization based-treatment programs of Bateman and Fonagy, especially for patients with more severe disease. This was applied in 18 months of duration, as the first phase of a long term treatment strategy followed by a outpatient treatment. Avoidant personality disorder patients didn’t respond so well to day treatment and need individual psychotherapy supplementing group therapy.

In the elderly, day hospitals are, together with adult day centers and in-home care, valuable alternatives to the full-time family caregiver, the nursing home, community mental health teams, and psychiatric hospitalization. It permits a long term monitoring both for patients and their careers in the community addressing psychological distress, memory impairment and daytime activities. It can offers intensive assessment, treatment and rehabilitation for functional disorders, depression and dementia. There is evidence of significant reduction of anxiety and apathy, a better adhesion to therapeutic community treatment, lower costs and delay nursing home placements in patients with dementia, a progressive improvement in the clinical state and higher adhesion to community and group treatment in moderate depressive elderly patients.

Patients with mood disorders are more often admitted to intensive biopsychosocial day hospital programs. A research performed in Italy to determine the effectiveness of an intensive short-term day hospital program showed a significant improvement among depressed patients with comorbid dysthymic disorder, particularly if accompanied by acute stress or crisis. Those with comorbid personality disorder showed more moderate improvement. However, there is inconsistency towards the improvements on psychopathology and more primary research on the efficacy is needed.

Anxiety disorder is also adequately treated in a psychotherapeutically orientated day hospital, focusing on crisis intervention for current conflicts, especially if a more intense psychotherapy as provided in an outpatient setting is needed or if inpatient setting is not adequate.

There is recent evidence of better results for partial day hospitalization programs compared to outpatient treatment on patients with eating disorders. A day hospital placed in a community setting can provide for patients with anorexia nervosa the advantages of reducing the stigma of being treated in a psychiatric hospital and also of containing parents’ fears of their siblings’ exposure to individuals with severe psychiatric illness.

In perinatal disorders, as post-partum depression, day hospital can provide a full range of interventions (motherhood classes, anxiety management, occupational therapy, etc.) with minimal family disruption, giving highly anxious mothers the opportunity to gain experience allowing others to care for the infant. In a study of 5 years settled in a mother-baby day hospital with cognitive-behaviour and interpersonal therapy, the authors found effectiveness in reducing the depressive symptoms, higher level of satisfaction and cost savings of approximately 50% in comparison to traditional inpatient treatment.

Day hospital may reduce the negative consequences of inpatient care for suicidal patients, like loss of freedom, regression and hopeless. Mazza M et al. developed a day hospital program to manage suicidal ideation and suicidal behaviour integrating crisis intervention strategies and long-term therapeutic management combining biological, psychological and sociological therapies. Of 62 patients that completed the study none committed suicide and only 25% maintained suicidal ideation following one year, showing promising results, but further studies are needed for more sustainable conclusions.

ACTUAL TRENDS AND ALTERNATIVES TO DAY HOSPITAL

Nowadays, the practice in day hospitals emphasizes in a more intensive treatment settled by a modern community mental healthcare system with community directed services. But, the establishment of new day programs with specified therapeutic techniques are many times determined by the existing fundings. Despite facilities for people temporarily too ill to return home at night, and an emphasis on community follow ups of non-attendees are new practices that are emerging. Acute home based care delivered by a specialized crisis team is thought to be feasible for about 55% of patients who would otherwise be admitted and seems to reduce costs and improve satisfaction. Direct comparisons have not been made but it seems that acute home based care is not cheaper and day hospital is better in providing psychiatric care.

In fact, a major problem for acute home based care is the need for a great number of professionals and the costs that dislocation of resources to patients’ residency implies. In day hospital instead, there are various professionals for a patient and one can give attendance to several simultaneously. Marshall M. gives a suggestion, if day hospital can be combined with outreach.
Day hospitals emerged first in a context of lack of inpatient beds and insufficient funds. The growth of partial hospital programs was stimulated by the deinstitutionalization of the public mental hospitals and the creation of community mental health centers. Today they can offer day treatment programs for those with acute and severe psychiatric problems, as an alternative to admission to inpatient units, both in terms of cost and adherence to treatment. Being privileged situated between inpatient care and the community, they permit the preservation of patient’s social and familiar life and the contact with the real world. They can offer medical and nursing care, occupational therapy, psychological treatments, and social work among many others. They may reduce the admission rate to acute inpatient beds and can also provide a useful period of follow-up for those recently discharged but still needing intensive support, providing early discharge from hospital, faster clinical improvement and comparable or greater level of satisfaction and quality of life.

As we assist to the advances in medical practice, day hospital units with specialized treatment programs for a specified mental disorder are consistently being developed. Although several studies have supported the effectiveness of day hospital for acute disorders, few have determined which kind of patients respond positively to certain types of treatment programs. An uniformization that opposes somehow the existing heterogeneity of programs available, as well a stronger emphasis on diagnostic issues and knowledge of patients’ disease and a psychosocial planning treatment for services, are needed to facilitate research programs and to bring better treatment outcomes. New trends as acute home based care are emerging and it is expected that a better definition of what clinical situations benefit more from the different therapeutic modalities available will result on the better performance of mental health services.
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